

# Unitals in $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ with a large 2-point stabiliser

L.Giuzzi and G.Korchmáros

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a unital embedded in the Desarguesian projective plane  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ . Write  $M$  for the subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(3, q^2)$  which preserves  $\mathcal{U}$ . We show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is classical if and only if  $\mathcal{U}$  has two distinct points  $P, Q$  for which the stabiliser  $G = M_{P, Q}$  has order  $q^2 - 1$ .

## 1 Introduction

In the Desarguesian projective plane  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , a *unital* is defined to be a set of  $q^3 + 1$  points containing either 1 or  $q + 1$  points from each line of  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ . Observe that each unital has a unique 1-secant at each of its points. The idea of a unital arises from the combinatorial properties of the non-degenerate unitary polarity  $\pi$  of  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ . The set of absolute points of  $\pi$  is indeed a unital, called the *classical* or *Hermitian* unital. Therefore, the projective group preserving the classical unital is isomorphic to  $\text{PGU}(3, q)$  and acts on its points as  $\text{PGU}(3, q)$  in its natural 2-transitive permutation representation. Using the classification of subgroups of  $\text{PGL}(3, q^2)$ , Hoffer [14] proved that a unital is classical if and only if it is preserved by a collineation group isomorphic to  $\text{PSU}(3, q^2)$ . Hoffer's characterisation has been the starting point for several investigations of unitals in terms of the structure of their automorphism group, see [3, 6, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16]; see also the survey [2, Appendix B]. In  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  with  $q$  odd, L.M. Abatangelo [1] proved that a Buekenhout–Metz unital with a cyclic 2-point stabiliser of order  $q^2 - 1$  is necessarily classical. In their talk at Combinatorics 2010, G. Donati e N. Durante have conjectured that Abatangelo's characterisation holds true for any unital in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ . In this note, we provide a proof of this conjecture.

Our notation and terminology are standard, see [2], and [13]. We shall assume  $q > 2$ , since all unitals in  $\text{PG}(2, 4)$  are classical.

## 2 Some technical lemmas

Let  $M$  be the subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(3, q^2)$  which preserves a unital  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ . A *2-point stabiliser* of  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subgroup of  $M$  which fixes two distinct points of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a unital in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  with a 2-point stabiliser  $G$  of order  $q^2 - 1$ . Then,  $G$  is cyclic, and there exists a projective frame in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  such that  $G$  is generated by a projectivity with matrix representation*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a primitive element of  $\text{GF}(q^2)$  and  $\mu$  is a primitive element of  $\text{GF}(q)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $O, Y_\infty$  be two distinct points of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that the stabiliser  $G = M_{O, Y_\infty}$  has order  $q^2 - 1$ . Choose a projective frame in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  so that  $O = (0, 0, 1)$ ,  $Y_\infty = (0, 1, 0)$  and the 1-secants of  $\mathcal{U}$  at those points are respectively  $\ell_X : X_2 = 0$  and  $\ell_\infty : X_3 = 0$ . Write  $X_\infty = (1, 0, 0)$  for the common point of  $\ell_X$  and  $\ell_\infty$ . Observe that  $G$  fixes the vertices of the triangle  $OX_\infty Y_\infty$ . Therefore,  $G$  consists of projectivities with diagonal matrix representation. Let now  $h \in G$  be a projectivity that fixes a further point  $P \in \ell_X$  apart from  $O, X_\infty$ . Then,  $h$  fixes  $\ell_X$  point-wise; that is,  $h$  is a perspectivity with axis  $\ell_X$ . Since  $h$  also fixes  $Y_\infty$ , the centre of  $h$  must be  $Y_\infty$ . Take any point  $R \in \ell_X$  with  $R \neq O, X_\infty$ . Obviously,  $h$  preserves the line  $r = Y_\infty R$ ; hence, it also preserves  $r \cap \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $r \cap \mathcal{U}$  comprises  $q$  points other than  $R$ , the subgroup  $H$  generated by  $h$  has a permutation representation of degree  $q$  in which no non-trivial permutation fixes a point. As  $q = p^r$  for a prime  $p$ , this implies that  $p$  divides  $|H|$ . On the other hand,  $h$  is taken from a group of order  $q^2 - 1$ . Thus,  $h$  must be the trivial element in  $G$ . Therefore,  $G$  has a faithful action on  $\ell_X$  as a 2-point stabiliser of  $\text{PG}(1, q^2)$ . This proves that  $G$  is cyclic. Furthermore, a generator  $g$  of  $G$  has a matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \lambda \text{ a primitive element of } \text{GF}(q^2).$$

As  $G$  preserves the set  $\Delta = \mathcal{U} \cap OY_\infty$ , it also induces a permutation group  $\bar{G}$  on  $\Delta$ . Since any projectivity fixing three points of  $OY_\infty$  must fix  $OY_\infty$  point-wise,  $\bar{G}$  is semiregular on  $\Delta$ . Therefore,  $|\bar{G}|$  divides  $q - 1$ . Let now  $F$  be the subgroup of  $G$  fixing  $\Delta$  point-wise. Then,  $F$  is a perspectivity group with centre  $X_\infty$  and axis  $\ell_Y : X_1 = 0$ . Take any point  $R \in \ell_Y$  such that the line  $r = RX_\infty$  is a  $(q + 1)$ -secant of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then,  $r \cap \mathcal{U}$  is disjoint from  $\ell_Y$ . Hence,  $F$  has a permutation representation on  $r \cap \mathcal{U}$  in which no non-trivial permutation fixes a point. Thus,  $|F|$  divides  $q + 1$ . Since  $|G| = q^2 - 1$ , we have  $|\bar{G}| \leq q - 1$  and  $|G| = |\bar{G}||F|$ . This implies  $|\bar{G}| = q - 1$  and  $|F| = q + 1$ . From the former condition,  $\mu$  must be a primitive element of  $\text{GF}(q)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *In  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  be two non-degenerate Hermitian curves which have the same tangent at a common point  $P$ . Denote by  $I(P, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2)$  the intersection multiplicity of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  at  $P$ . Then,*

$$I(P, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2) = q + 1. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Since, up to projectivities, there is a unique class of Hermitian curves in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , we may assume  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to have equation  $-X_1^{q+1} + X_2^q X_3 + X_2 X_3^q = 0$ . Furthermore, as the projectivity group  $\text{PGU}(3, q)$  preserving  $\mathcal{H}_1$  acts transitively on the points of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  in  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , we may also suppose  $P = (0, 0, 1)$ . Within this setting, the tangent  $r$  of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  at  $P$  coincides with the line  $X_2 = 0$ . As no term  $X_1^j$  with  $0 < j \leq q$  occurs in the equation of  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , the intersection multiplicity  $I(P, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap r)$  is equal to  $q + 1$ .

The equation of the other Hermitian curve  $\mathcal{H}_2$  might be written as

$$F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = a_0 X_3^q X_2 + a_1 X_3^{q-1} G_1(X_1, X_2) + \dots + a_q G_q(X_1, X_2) = 0,$$

where  $a_0 \neq 0$  and  $\deg G_i(X_1, X_2) = i + 1$ . Since the tangent of  $\mathcal{H}_2$  at  $P$  has no other common point with  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , even over the algebraic closure of  $\text{GF}(q^2)$ , no terms  $X_1^j$  with  $0 < j \leq q$  can occur in the polynomials  $G_i(X_1, X_2)$ . In other words,  $I(P, \mathcal{H}_2 \cap r) = q + 1$ .

A primitive representation of the unique branch of  $\mathcal{H}_1$  centred at  $P$  has components

$$x(t) = t, \quad y(t) = ct^i + \dots, \quad x_3(t) = 1$$

where  $i$  is a positive integer and  $y(t) \in \text{GF}(q^2)[[t]]$ , that is,  $y(t)$  stands for a formal power series with coefficients in  $\text{GF}(q^2)$ .

From  $I(P, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap r) = q + 1$ ,

$$y(t)^q + y(t) - t^{q+1} = 0,$$

whence  $y(t) = t^{q+1} + H(t)$ , where  $H(t)$  is a formal power series of order at least  $q + 2$ . That is, the exponent  $j$  in the leading term  $ct^j$  of  $H(t)$  is larger than  $q + 1$ .

It is now possible to compute the intersection multiplicity  $I(P, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2)$  using [13, Theorem 4.36]:

$$I(P, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2) = \text{ord}_t F(t, y(t), 1) = \text{ord}_t (a_0 t^{q+1} + G(t)),$$

with  $G(t) \in \text{GF}(q^2)[[t]]$  of order at least  $q + 2$ . From this, the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *In  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a non-degenerate Hermitian curve and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Hermitian cone whose centre does not lie on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Assume that there exist two points  $P_i \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}$ , with  $i = 1, 2$ , such that the tangent line of  $\mathcal{H}$  at  $P_i$  is a linear component of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then*

$$I(P_1, \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}) = q + 1. \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* We use the same setting as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 with  $P = P_1$ . Since the action of  $\text{PGU}(3, q)$  is 2-transitive on the points of  $\mathcal{H}$ , we may also suppose that  $P_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ . Then the centre of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the point  $X_\infty = (1, 0, 0)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  has equation  $c^q X_2^q X_3 + c X_2 X_3^q = 0$  with  $c \neq 0$ . Therefore,

$$I(P, \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}) = \text{ord}_t (c^q y(t)^q + c y(t)) = \text{ord}_t (c^q t^{q+1} + K(t))$$

with  $K(t) \in \text{GF}(q^2)[[t]]$  of order at least  $q + 2$ , whence the assertion follows.  $\square$

### 3 Main result

**Theorem 3.1.** *In  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a unital and write  $M$  for the group of projectivities which preserves  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  has two distinct points  $P, Q$  such that the stabiliser  $G = M_{P, Q}$  has order  $q^2 - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is classical.*

The main idea of the proof is to build up a projective plane of order  $q$  using, for the definition of points, non-trivial  $G$ -orbits in the affine plane  $\text{AG}(2, q^2)$  which arise from  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  by removing the line  $\ell_\infty : X_3 = 0$  with all its points. To this purpose, take  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $G$  as in Lemma 2.1, and define an incidence structure  $\Pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  as follows:

1. Points are all non-trivial  $G$ -orbits in  $\text{AG}(2, q^2)$ .
2. Lines are  $\ell_Y$ , and the non-degenerate Hermitian curves of equation

$$\mathcal{H}_b : -X_1^{q+1} + b X_3 X_2^q + b^q X_3^q X_2 = 0, \quad (3)$$

with  $b$  ranging over  $\text{GF}(q^2)^*$ , together with the Hermitian cones of equation

$$\mathcal{C}_c : c^q X_2^q X_3 + c X_2 X_3^q = 0, \quad (4)$$

with  $c$  ranging over a representative system of cosets of  $(\text{GF}(q), *)$  in  $(\text{GF}(q^2), *)$ .

3. Incidence is the natural inclusion.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The incidence structure  $\Pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a projective plane of order  $q$ .*

*Proof.* In  $\text{AG}(2, q^2)$ , the group  $G$  has  $q^2 + q + 1$  non-trivial orbits, namely its  $q^2$  orbits disjoint from  $\ell_Y$ , each of length  $q^2 - 1$ , and its  $q + 1$  orbits on  $\ell_Y$ , these of length  $q - 1$ . Therefore, the total number of points in  $\mathcal{P}$  is equal to  $q^2 + q + 1$ . By construction of  $\Pi$ , the number of lines in  $\mathcal{L}$  is also  $q^2 + q + 1$ . Incidence is well defined as  $G$  preserves  $\ell_Y$  and each Hermitian curve and cone representing lines of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We now count the points incident with a line in  $\Pi$ . Each  $G$ -orbit on  $\ell_Y$  distinct from  $O$  and  $Y_\infty$  has length  $q - 1$ . Hence there are exactly  $q + 1$  such  $G$ -orbits; in terms of  $\Pi$ , the line represented by  $\ell_Y$  is incident with  $q + 1$  points. A Hermitian curve  $\mathcal{H}_b$  of Equation (3) has  $q^3$  points in  $\text{AG}(2, q^2)$  and meets  $\ell_Y$  in a  $G$ -orbit, while it contains no point from the line  $\ell_X$ . As  $q^3 - q = q(q^2 - 1)$ , the line represented by  $\mathcal{H}_b$  is incident with  $q + 1$  points in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Finally, a Hermitian cone  $\mathcal{C}_c$  of Equation (4) has  $q^3$  points in  $\text{AG}(2, q^2)$  and contains  $q$  points from  $\ell_Y$ . One of these  $q$  points is  $O$ , the other  $q - 1$  forming a non-trivial  $G$ -orbit. The remaining  $q^3 - q$  points of  $\mathcal{C}_c$  are partitioned into  $q$  distinct  $G$ -orbits. Hence, the line represented by  $\mathcal{C}_c$  is also incident with  $q + 1$  points. This shows that each line in  $\Pi$  is incident with exactly  $q + 1$  points.

Therefore, it is enough to show that two any two distinct lines of  $\mathcal{L}$  have exactly one common point. Obviously, this is true when one of these lines is represented by  $\ell_Y$ . Furthermore, the point of  $\mathcal{P}$  represented by  $\ell_X$  is incident with each line of  $\mathcal{L}$  represented by a Hermitian cone of equation (4). We are led to investigate the case where one of the lines of  $\mathcal{L}$  is represented by a Hermitian curve  $\mathcal{H}_b$  of equation (4), and the other line of  $\mathcal{L}$  is represented by a Hermitian curve  $\mathcal{H}$  which is either another Hermitian curve  $\mathcal{H}_d$  of the same type of Equation (3), or a Hermitian cone  $\mathcal{C}_c$  of Equation (4).

Clearly, both  $O$  and  $Y_\infty$  are common points of  $\mathcal{H}_b$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . From Kestenband's classification [17], see also [2, Theorem 6.7],  $\mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}$  cannot consist of exactly two points. Therefore, there exists another point, say  $P \in \mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\ell_X$  and  $\ell_0$  are 1-secants of  $\mathcal{H}_b$  at the points  $O$  and  $Y_\infty$ , respectively, either  $P$  is on  $\ell_Y$  or  $P$  lies outside the fundamental triangle. In the latter case, the  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta_1$  of  $P$  has size  $q^2 - 1$  and represents a point in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}$  contains a further point, not lying in  $\Delta_1$ . If the  $G$ -orbit of  $Q$  is  $\Delta_2$ , then

$$|\mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}| \geq |\Delta_1| + |\Delta_2| = 2(q^2 - 1) + 2 = 2q^2.$$

However, from Bézout's theorem, see [13, Theorem 3.14],

$$|\mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}| \leq (q + 1)^2.$$

Therefore,  $Q \in \ell_Y$ , and the  $G$ -orbit  $\Delta_3$  of  $Q$  has length  $q - 1$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{H}_b$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  shear  $q + 1$  points on  $\ell_Y$ . If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_d$  is a Hermitian curve of Equation (3), each of these  $q + 1$  points is the tangency point of a common inflection tangent with multiplicity  $q + 1$  of the Hermitian curves  $\mathcal{H}_b$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . Write  $R_1, \dots, R_{q+1}$  for these points. Then, by (1) the intersection multiplicity is  $I(R_i, \mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}_d) = q + 1$ . This holds true also when  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hermitian cone  $\mathcal{C}_c$  of Equation (4); see Lemma 2.3. Therefore, in any case,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q+1} I(R_i, \mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H}) = (q + 1)^2.$$

From Bézout's theorem,  $\mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H} = \{R_1, \dots, R_{q+1}\}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_b \cap \mathcal{H} = \Delta_3 \cup \{O, Y_\infty\}$ . This shows that if  $Q \notin \ell_Y$ , the lines represented by  $\mathcal{H}_b$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  have exactly one point in common. The above

argument can also be adapted to prove this assertion in the case where  $Q \in \ell_Y$ . Therefore, any two distinct lines of  $\mathcal{L}$  have exactly one common point.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Construct a projective plane  $\Pi$  as in Lemma 3.2. Since  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{O, Y_\infty\}$  is the union of  $G$ -orbits,  $\mathcal{U}$  represents a set  $\Gamma$  of  $q + 1$  points in  $\Pi$ . From [7],  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  where  $N$  is the number of common points of  $\mathcal{U}$  with any Hermitian curve  $\mathcal{H}_b$ . In terms of  $\Pi$ ,  $\Gamma$  contains some point from every line  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  represented by a Hermitian curve of Equation (3). Actually, this holds true when the line  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  is represented by a Hermitian cone  $\mathcal{C}$  of Equation (4). To prove it, observe that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a line  $r$  distinct from both lines  $\ell_X$  and  $\ell_0$ . Then  $r \cap \mathcal{U}$  is non empty, and contains neither  $O$  nor  $Y_\infty$ . If  $P$  is point in  $r \cap \mathcal{U}$ , then the  $G$ -orbit of  $P$  represents a common point of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ . Since the line in  $\mathcal{L}$  represented by  $\ell_Y$  meets  $\Gamma$ , it turns out that  $\Gamma$  contains some point from every line in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Therefore,  $\Gamma$  is itself a line in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{U}$  contains no line. In terms of  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ , this yields that  $\mathcal{U}$  coincides with a Hermitian curve of Equation (3). In particular,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a classical unital.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L.M. Abatangelo, Una caratterizzazione grupale delle curve Hermitiane, *Le Matematiche* **39** (1984) 101–110.
- [2] S.G. Barwick, G.L. Ebert, *Unitals in Projective Planes*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (2008).
- [3] L.M. Batten, Blocking sets with flag transitive collineation groups, *Arch. Math.*, **56** (1991), 412–416
- [4] M. Biliotti, G. Korchmáros, Collineation groups preserving a unital of a projective plane of odd order *J. Algebra* **122** (1989), 130–149.
- [5] M. Biliotti, G. Korchmáros, Collineation groups preserving a unital of a projective plane of even order *Geom. Dedicata* **31** (1989), 333–344.
- [6] P. Biscarini, Hermitian arcs of  $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$  with a transitive collineation group on the set of  $(q + 1)$ -secants, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Brescia* **7** (1982), 111–124.
- [7] A. Blokhuis, A. Brouwer, H. Wilbrink, Hermitian unitals are codewords, *Discrete Math.* **97** (1991), 63–68.
- [8] A. Cossidente, G.L. Ebert, G. Korchmáros, A group-theoretic characterization of classical unitals, *Arch. Math.* **74** (2000), 1–5.
- [9] A. Cossidente, G.L. Ebert, G. Korchmáros, Unitals in finite Desarguesian planes, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **14** (2001), 119–125.
- [10] G.L. Ebert, K. Wantz, A group-theoretic characterization of Buekenhout-Metz unitals, *J. Combin. Des.* **4** (1996), 143–152.
- [11] J. Doyen, Designs and automorphism groups. Surveys in Combinatorics *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **141** (1989), 74–83.

- [12] L. Giuzzi, A characterisation of classical unitals, *J. Geometry* **74** (2002), 86–89.
- [13] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres *Algebraic Curves Over a Finite Field*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2008, xx+696 pp.
- [14] A.R. Hoffer, On Unitary collineation groups, *J. Algebra* **22** (1972), 211–218.
- [15] W.M. Kantor, On unitary polarities of finite projective planes *Canad J. Math.* **23** (1971) 1060–1077.
- [16] W.M. Kantor, Homogeneous designs and geometric lattices, *J. Combin. Theory Ser A* **38** (1985) 66–74.
- [17] B.C. Kestenband, Unital intersections in finite projective planes, *Geom. Dedicata* **11** (1981) no. 1, 107–117.