

Grassmann embeddings of polar Grassmannians

Ilaria Cardinali, Luca Giuzzi and Antonio Pasini

November 7, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we compute the dimension of the Grassmann embeddings of the polar Grassmannians associated to a possibly degenerate Hermitian, alternating or quadratic form with possibly non-maximal Witt index. Moreover, in the characteristic 2 case, when the form is quadratic and non-degenerate with bilinearization of minimal Witt index, we define a generalization of the so-called Weyl embedding (see [3]) and prove that the Grassmann embedding is a quotient of this generalized ‘Weyl-like’ embedding. We also estimate the dimension of the latter.

1 Introduction

Let $V := V(N, \mathbb{F})$ be a vector space of dimension $1 < N < \infty$ over a field \mathbb{F} , equipped with a (possibly degenerate) sesquilinear or quadratic form η such that V is spanned by the set of the vectors that are singular for η . Let $R := \text{Rad}(\eta)$ be the radical of η and let n be the *reduced Witt index* of η , namely the Witt index of the non-degenerate form induced by η on V/R . The numbers $d := \dim(R)$ and $n + d$ are the (*singular*) *defect* and the *Witt index* of η , respectively. With respect to η , the space V admits a direct sum decomposition

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i \right) \oplus V_0 \oplus R \quad (1)$$

where V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n are mutually orthogonal hyperbolic 2-spaces and V_0 is an $(N - 2n - d)$ -dimensional totally anisotropic subspace orthogonal to each of V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n . In order to avoid trivial cases we assume $n > 1$. We call the number $d_0 := \dim(V_0)$ the *anisotropic defect* of η and we denote it $\text{def}_0(\eta)$, while $\text{def}(\eta)$ stands for d .

For $1 \leq k < N$ denote by \mathcal{G}_k the k -Grassmannian of V , that is the point-line geometry having as points the subspaces of V of dimension k and as lines the sets of the form $\ell_{X,Y} := \{Z : X < Z < Y, \dim(Z) = k\}$, where X and Y are two subspaces of V with $\dim(X) = k - 1$ and $\dim(Y) = k + 1$. Incidence is containment.

Let $e_k : \mathcal{G}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$ be the Plücker (or Grassmann) embedding of \mathcal{G}_k , mapping the point $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$ of \mathcal{G}_k to the projective point $\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \rangle$ of $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$. The dimension of an embedding is defined as the vector dimension of the space spanned by its image. It is well known that $\dim(e_k) = \binom{N}{k}$.

With η a form of reduced Witt index $n > 1$ and singular defect d , for $k = 1, \dots, n + d$ the *polar k -Grassmannian* associated to η is the point-line geometry having as points the totally η -singular k -dimensional subspaces of V . Lines are defined as follows.

1. For $k < n + d$, the lines are the lines $\ell_{X,Y}$ of \mathcal{G}_k with Y totally η -singular.

2. For $k = n + d$, if η is sesquilinear then the lines are the sets as follows

$$\ell_X := \{Y : X \leq Y, \dim(Y) = n + d, Y \text{ totally } \eta\text{-singular}\} \text{ with } \dim(X) = n + d - 1$$

while when η is a quadratic form they are the sets

$$\ell_X := \{Y : X \leq Y \leq X^\perp, \dim(Y) = n + d, Y \text{ totally } \eta\text{-singular}\} \text{ with } \dim(X) = n + d - 1,$$

where X^\perp is the orthogonal of X w.r.t. the bilinearization of η .

Let \mathcal{P}_k be the polar k -Grassmannian defined by η . If $k = 1$ the geometry \mathcal{P}_1 is the polar space associated to η . When $d = 0$ (namely η is non-degenerate) and $k = n$ the polar Grassmannian \mathcal{P}_n is usually called *dual polar space*.

If $k < n + d$ then \mathcal{P}_k is a full-subgeometry of \mathcal{G}_k . In any case, all points of \mathcal{P}_k are points of \mathcal{G}_k . So, we can consider the restriction $\varepsilon_k := e_k|_{\mathcal{P}_k}$ of the Plücker embedding e_k of \mathcal{G}_k to \mathcal{P}_k . The map ε_k is an embedding of \mathcal{P}_k called *Plücker (or Grassmann) embedding* of \mathcal{P}_k .

Note that the span $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle$ of the ε_k -image $\varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k)$ of (the point-set of) \mathcal{P}_k needn't coincide with $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$, although the equality $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle = \text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$ indeed holds in several cases, as we shall see in this paper. The *dimension* $\dim(\varepsilon_k)$ of ε_k is the dimension of the vector subspace of $\wedge^k V$ corresponding to $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle$.

When $k < n + d$ the embedding ε_k is *projective*, namely it maps the lines of \mathcal{P}_k surjectively onto lines of $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$. When $k = n + d$ this is not true, except when $d = 0$ and η is an alternating form. Indeed when η is degenerate \mathcal{P}_{n+d} also admits lines consisting of just one point. Accordingly, when $d > 0$ the geometry \mathcal{P}_{n+d} never admits any projective embedding. If η is non-degenerate but not alternating then ε_n may map the lines of \mathcal{P}_n onto proper sublines of lines of $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$ (in which case ε_n is *laxly projective*) or onto conics (when ε_n is a *veronesean embedding* as defined in [3], also [16]) or other curves or varieties, depending on d_0 and the type of η .

When η is sesquilinear but not bilinear we can always assume that it is Hermitian. If it is bilinear then it can be either alternating or symmetric. However, if η is symmetric we can always replace it with the quadratic form associated to it. Thus, henceforth, we shall consider only Hermitian, alternating and quadratic forms.

Definition 1.1. If η is a Hermitian form, then \mathcal{P}_k is called *Hermitian k -Grassmannian*. We denote it by $\mathcal{H}_k(n, d_0, d; \mathbb{F})$, as to have a notation which keeps record of the reduced Witt index n and the anisotropic and singular defects d_0 and d of η .

When η is alternating we call \mathcal{P}_k a *symplectic k -Grassmannian* and denote it $\mathcal{S}_k(n, d; \mathbb{F})$ (recall that if η is alternating then $d_0 = 0$, so we may omit to keep a record of d_0). Finally, when \mathcal{P}_k is associated to a non-degenerate quadratic form, then it is called *orthogonal k -Grassmannian*. We denote it by $\mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, d; \mathbb{F})$.

We will also often use the following shortenings: \mathcal{H}_k for $\mathcal{H}_k(n, d_0, d, n; \mathbb{F})$, \mathcal{S}_k for $\mathcal{S}_k(n, d; \mathbb{F})$ and \mathcal{Q}_k for $\mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, d; \mathbb{F})$.

In this paper we shall compute the dimensions of the Grassmann embeddings of $\mathcal{H}_k(n, d_0, d; \mathbb{F})$, $\mathcal{S}_k(n, d; \mathbb{F})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, d; \mathbb{F})$ for any k , with no hypotheses on either d or d_0 . Note that, in general, the possible values that the anisotropic defect d_0 can take depend on the field \mathbb{F} . For instance if \mathbb{F} is finite and η is Hermitian then $d_0 \leq 1$. If \mathbb{F} is quadratically closed, then a quadratic form defined over \mathbb{F} has anisotropic defect $d_0 \leq 1$ and if \mathbb{F} is finite then $d_0 \leq 2$.

Remark 1.2. In the literature the word “defect” is sometimes given a meaning different from either of those stated above. Indeed a number of authors use it to denote the defect of the bilinearization of a non-degenerate quadratic form in characteristic 2. We shall consider this defect in Subsections 1.2, 5.2 and 7.2, denoting it by the symbol d'_0 . Clearly, $d'_0 \leq d_0$.

Remark 1.3. A number of authors (Tits [21, Chapter 8], for instance), when dealing with vectors (or subspaces) that are singular (totally singular) for a given sesquilinear form, prefer the word “isotropic” rather than “singular”, keeping the latter only for pseudoquadratic forms. Other authors (e.g. Buekenhout and Cohen [2, Chapters 7–10]) use “singular” in any case. We have preferred to follow these latter ones.

1.1 A survey of known results

Before stating our main results, we provide a brief summary of what is currently known about the dimension of the Grassmann embedding of a polar k -Grassmannian. In this respect, only non-degenerate forms are considered in the literature. So, throughout this subsection we assume $d = 0$.

We consider $\mathcal{H}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ first. The dimension of the Grassmann embedding of $\mathcal{H}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ has been proved to be equal to $\binom{N}{k}$ for $d_0 = 0$ and k arbitrary by de Bruyn [13] (see also Blok and Cooperstein [1]) and for d_0 arbitrary and $k = 2$ by Cardinali and Pasini [5]. When $k = 1$ there is nothing to say: ε_1 is just the canonical embedding of the polar space $\mathcal{H}_1(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ in $\text{PG}(V)$. As far as we know, the case where $k > 2$ and $d_0 > 0$ has never been considered so far.

It is worth to spend a few words on $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$. When $d_0 = 0$ the Grassmann embedding ε_n of \mathcal{H}_n is laxly projective: it maps the lines of \mathcal{H}_n onto Baer sublines of $\text{PG}(\wedge^n V)$; by replacing $\text{PG}(\wedge^n V)$ with a suitable Baer subgeometry containing $\varepsilon_n(\mathcal{H}_n)$, the embedding ε_n is turned into a projective embedding (see e.g. [13] or [10]; also [7, Section 4]). This modification has no effect on the dimension of ε_n , which remains the same. On the other hand, when $d_0 > 0$ then ε_n maps the lines of \mathcal{H}_n onto Hermitian hypersurfaces of $(d_0 + 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(\wedge^n V)$ (Hermitian plane curves when $d_0 = 1$). In this case \mathcal{H}_n does not admit any projective embedding, as it follows from the classification of Moufang quadrangles (Tits and Weiss [22]).

As for $\mathcal{S}_k(n, 0; \mathbb{F})$, it is well known that its Grassmann embedding has dimension $\binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$, with the usual convention that $\binom{N}{-1} := 0$ in the case $k = 1$; see e.g. see De Bruyn [12] or Premet and Suprunenko [18] (also De Bruyn [11], Cooperstein [9]).

Let now ε_k be the Plücker embedding of $\mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$. The dimension of ε_k is known only for $d_0 \leq 1$ with the further restriction $k < n$ when $d_0 = 0$. Indeed, in [3] it is shown that

$$\dim(\varepsilon_k) = \begin{cases} \binom{N}{k} & \text{if char}(\mathbb{F}) \text{ is odd and } d_0 \leq 1. \\ \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2} & \text{if char}(\mathbb{F}) \text{ is even and } d_0 \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The embedding ε_n of $\mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ also deserves a few comments. When $d_0 = 0$ the lines of \mathcal{Q}_n are just pairs of points. This case does not look very interesting. Suppose $d_0 > 0$. Then ε_n maps the lines of \mathcal{Q}_n onto non-singular quadrics in $(d_0 + 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(\wedge^n V)$ (conics for $d_0 = 1$ and elliptic ovoids for $d_0 = 2$). If $d_0 = 1$ then \mathcal{Q}_n admits the so-called *spin embedding*, which is projective and 2^n -dimensional. Interesting relations exist between this embedding and ε_n (see [3], [4]; also Section 7.3 of this paper). Furthermore, still assuming $d_0 = 1$, if \mathbb{F} is a perfect field of characteristic 2 then $\mathcal{Q}_n \cong \mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}_n(n, 0; \mathbb{F})$. In this case the Grassmann embedding of \mathcal{S}_n yields a projective embedding of \mathcal{Q}_n which, as proved in [3], is a quotient of ε_n . A 2^n -dimensional projective embedding also exists for \mathcal{Q}_n when $d_0 = 2$ (see e.g. Cooperstein and Shult [10, §2.2]). It is likely that some relation also exists between this embedding and ε_n (see Section 7.3). If $d_0 > 2$, then \mathcal{Q}_n admits no projective embedding, as it follows from [22].

1.2 The main results of this paper

In Sections 3, 4 and 5 of this paper we shall compute the dimension of the Grassmann embedding of a polar k -Grassmannian for k not greater than the reduced Witt index n of the associated form but with no restrictions on the anisotropic and singular defects d_0 and d . As a by-product, we obtain anew the results mentioned in the previous subsection. Explicitly, we prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Let V be a vector space of finite dimension $N > 1$ over a field \mathbb{F} and let n, d_0 and d be non-negative integers with $n > 1$, $0 \leq d, d_0$ and $2n + d_0 + d = N$. Let η be a Hermitian, alternating or quadratic form defined over V , with reduced Witt index n , anisotropic defect d_0 and singular defect d , provided that such a form exists. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ let \mathcal{P}_k be the polar k -Grassmannian associated to η and ε_k its Grassmann embedding. Then:*

1. *If η is Hermitian then $\dim(\varepsilon_k) = \binom{N}{k}$, namely $\varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k)$ spans $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$.*
2. *If η is alternating then $\dim(\varepsilon_k) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$.*
3. *Suppose η to be a quadratic form. Then $\dim(\varepsilon_k) = \binom{N}{k}$ if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and $\dim(\varepsilon_k) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$. In other words, if either $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ or $k = 1$ then $\varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k)$ spans $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$, otherwise $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle$ coincides with the span of the image of the Grassmann embedding of the symplectic k -Grassmannian associated with the bilinearization of η .*

Remark 1.4. As noticed in Subsection 1.1, the dual polar space $\mathcal{Q}_n(n, 0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ is not considered in [3]. Part 3 of the above theorem includes this case too.

In Theorem 1 we have assumed $k \leq n$, but $n < k \leq n + d$ is also allowed by the definition of polar Grassmannian when $d > 0$. We consider this case in the next corollary, to be proved in Section 6.

Corollary 2. *With the notation of Theorem 1, let $n < k \leq n + d$.*

1. *If η is Hermitian or quadratic, but with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ in the latter case, then*

$$\dim(\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-n-1} \binom{N-d}{k-i} \binom{d}{i},$$

with the usual convention that a binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{h}$ is 0 when $h > m$.

2. *If η is alternating or quadratic, with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ in the latter case, then*

$$\dim(\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-n-1} \binom{N-d}{k-i} \binom{d}{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-n-1} \binom{N-d}{k-i-2} \binom{d}{i}.$$

In any case $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k) \rangle$ is a proper subspace of $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$.

Remark 1.5. A linear system of $\binom{N}{k-2}$ equations is proposed in [17, Section 4] which, combined with the (non-linear) equations describing the Grassmann variety $e_k(\mathcal{G}_k)$, characterizes the variety $\mathbb{S}_k = \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{S}_k)$. It is asked in [17] whether those linear equations are linearly independent and if they characterize $\langle \mathbb{S}_k \rangle$. In general, the answer to either of these questions is negative. In view of Corollary 2, the answer is certainly negative when $k > n$. However, it is negative even if $k \leq n$ (in particular, when $d = 0$). For instance, let $d = 0$. Then if $k \leq 3$ those equations are independent, whence they indeed describe $\langle \mathbb{S}_k \rangle$; perhaps they are independent for any $k \leq n$ when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$, but when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ and $k > 3$ they are dependent, as one can see by a straightforward check. So, here is one more problem: find a linear system that describes $\langle \mathbb{S}_k \rangle$.

We now turn to the second problem studied in this paper. Let $\mathcal{Q}_k = \mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ be a non-degenerate orthogonal k -Grassmannian with $k < n$. As $k < n$, the Grassmann embedding ε_k of \mathcal{Q}_k is projective. Assume moreover that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$. So $\dim(\varepsilon_k) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ by Theorem 1. As proved in [3], if $k > 1$ and $d_0 \leq 1$ then ε_k is a proper quotient of an $\binom{N}{k}$ -dimensional projective embedding e_k^W of \mathcal{Q}_k , which in [3] is called *Weyl embedding* and lives in the Weyl module $W(\mu_k)$ for the Chevalley group $G = \text{O}(N, \mathbb{F})$ (when $d_0 = 1$) or $G = \text{O}^+(N, \mathbb{F})$ (when $d_0 = 0$), for a suitable weight μ_k of the root system of G (see e.g. [15] or [20] for these notions). Explicitly, μ_k is the k -th fundamental weight λ_k except when $k = n - 1$ and $d_0 = 0$. In the latter case $\mu_{n-1} = \lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n$. We refer to [3] (also [6]) for more information on e_k^W . We only recall here that the Weyl embedding e_k^W also exists when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and when $k = 1$, however $e_k^W \cong \varepsilon_k$ for any $k < n$ when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ (as proved in [3]) and $e_1^W \cong \varepsilon_1$ whatever $\text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ is. Note also that, in any case, $\dim(W(\mu_k)) = \binom{N}{k}$. So, $e_k^W(\mathcal{Q}_k)$ spans $\text{PG}(W(\mu_k))$.

It is natural to ask whether an analogue of the Weyl embedding can be defined when $d_0 > 1$. In the last section of this paper we propose such a generalization, but only for orthogonal Grassmannians associated to absolutely non-degenerate quadratic forms.

We recall that a non-degenerate quadratic form $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is said to be *absolutely non-degenerate* if its natural extension $\bar{q} : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}$ to $\bar{V} := V \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}$, where $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} , is still non-degenerate. When $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ this notion is devoid of interest: in this case all non-degenerate quadratic forms are absolutely non-degenerate. On the other hand, when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, let f_q be the bilinearization of q and $d'_0 := \dim(\text{Rad}(f_q))$. Then $\dim(\text{Rad}(\bar{q})) = \max(0, d'_0 - 1)$. So, q is absolutely non-degenerate if and only if $d'_0 \leq 1$.

Let q be absolutely non-degenerate with anisotropic defect d_0 . Then, as we shall prove in Section 7.2, the field \mathbb{F} admits an algebraic extension $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ such that the extension $\hat{q} : \hat{V} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{F}}$ of q to $\hat{V} = V \otimes \hat{\mathbb{F}}$ is non-degenerate with anisotropic defect $\text{def}_0(\hat{q}) = d''_0 \leq 1$, where $d''_0 = d'_0$ when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ while, if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$, then $d''_0 = 0$ or 1 according to whether N is even or odd. In any case, keeping the hypothesis $k < n$, the k -Grassmannian $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$ associated to \hat{q} admits the Weyl embedding $\hat{e}_k^W : \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(\hat{V}_k^W)$, where \hat{V}_k^W is the appropriate Weyl module. Clearly, the orthogonal k -Grassmannian \mathcal{Q}_k associated to q is a subgeometry of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$, $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$ is a subgeometry of $\text{PG}(\wedge^k \hat{V})$ and the Grassmann embedding $\hat{\varepsilon}_k : \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(\wedge^k \hat{V})$ of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$ induces on \mathcal{Q}_k its Grassmann embedding $\varepsilon_k : \mathcal{Q}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$.

The following theorem will be proved in Section 7.2. In order to make its statement a bit shorter, we take the liberty of using the symbols $\langle \hat{\varepsilon}_k(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k) \rangle$ and $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle$, which actually stand for subspaces of $\text{PG}(\wedge^k \hat{V})$ and $\text{PG}(\wedge^k V)$ respectively, to denote the corresponding vector subspaces of $\wedge^k \hat{V}$ and $\wedge^k V$.

Theorem 3. *Let q be absolutely non-degenerate, $k < n$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k, \hat{V}, \hat{V}_k^W, \hat{\varepsilon}_k$ and \hat{e}_k^W be as defined above. Then the Weyl module \hat{V}_k^W , regarded as an \mathbb{F} -space, contains an \mathbb{F} -subspace V_k^W such that:*

1. *The Weyl embedding \hat{e}_k^W induces on \mathcal{Q}_k a projective embedding $e_k^W : \mathcal{Q}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(V_k^W)$. Moreover $e_k^W(\mathcal{Q}_k)$ spans V_k^W .*
2. *The (essentially unique) morphism $\hat{\varphi} : \hat{V}_k^W \rightarrow \langle \hat{\varepsilon}_k(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k) \rangle$ from the Weyl embedding \hat{e}_k^W to the Grassmann embedding $\hat{\varepsilon}_k$ of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$ maps \hat{V}_k^W onto $\langle \hat{\varepsilon}_k(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_k) \rangle$ and induces a morphism from e_k^W to the Grassmann embedding ε_k of \mathcal{Q}_k .*
3. *If $\hat{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism (which is the case precisely when either $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ or $k = 1$) then φ also is an isomorphism.*

We call e_k^W the *Weyl-like* embedding of \mathcal{Q}_k (Subsection 7.2, Definition 7.10). Needless to say, when $d_0 \leq 1$ then e_k^W is just the Weyl embedding of \mathcal{Q}_k . Clearly, when φ is an isomorphism, the Weyl-like embedding $e_k^W \cong \varepsilon_k$ is $\binom{N}{k}$ -dimensional. Otherwise, as we shall prove in Subsection 7.2,

$$\binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2} \leq \dim(e_k^W) \leq \binom{N}{k} + \binom{N}{k-2}(g-1)$$

where $g := |\widehat{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbb{F}|$. As we shall see in Subsection 7.2, we can always choose $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ in such a way that $g \leq \max(1, d_0 - d'_0)$ (note that we are assuming $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, otherwise φ is an isomorphism). However, even with $g \leq \max(1, d_0 - d'_0)$, the above bounds are likely to be rather lax. We leave the task of improving them for a future work.

To finish, we mention an important problem which stands in the background of this paper: under which conditions the embeddings considered in this paper are universal? Of course, this question makes sense only if universality can be defined in a sensible way for the family of embeddings we consider, as when they are projective (but not only in that case). Apart from the trivial case of $k = 1$, where ε_1 is just the canonical embedding of the polar space $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1$, which is indeed universal except when \mathcal{P} is symplectic and $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, sticking to non-degenerate cases, a clear answer is known only for $\mathcal{H}_k(n, 0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ and $\mathcal{S}_k(n, 0; \mathbb{F})$: the Grassmann embedding of $\mathcal{H}(n, 0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ is universal provided that $|\mathbb{F}| > 4$ and that of $\mathcal{S}_k(n, 0; \mathbb{F})$ is universal provided that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ (Blok and Cooperstein [1]). Partial answers for $\mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ with $d_0 \leq 1$ are also known, which might suggest that e_k^W is universal when $k < n$ and ε_n is universal when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ (see e.g. [3, Theorem 1.5] for $1 < k \leq 3$, $k < n$ and [4, Theorem 5] for $k = n = 2$). Nothing is known for the Grassmann embeddings of $\mathcal{H}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ with $d_0 > 0$ or $\mathcal{Q}_k(n, d_0, 0; \mathbb{F})$ with $d_0 > 1$, let alone for the degenerate cases. However, we are not going to discuss this problem in this paper. We leave it for a future work.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we set some notation and prove some preliminary general results. Parts 1, 2 and 3 of Theorem 1 will be proved in Sections 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Finally, in Section 7 we propose a general definition of *liftings* of embeddings and use this notion to prove Theorem 3.

2 Preliminaries

Let $V := V(N, \mathbb{F})$ be a vector space of dimension N over a field \mathbb{F} . Let $E := (e_i)_{i=1}^N$ be a given basis of V . For any set $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_h\}$ of indexes with $1 \leq j_i \leq N$ denote by V_J the subspace of V generated by $E_J := (e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_h})$.

We shall write in brief $V_k := \bigwedge^k V$ and $V_{J,k} := \bigwedge^k V_J$. It is well known that a basis E_k of V_k is given by all vectors of the form $e_T := e_{t_1} \wedge e_{t_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{t_k}$ with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ where $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ varies among all k -subsets of $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Consistently with the notation introduced above we shall write $E_{J,k}$ for the basis of $V_{J,k}$ induced by E_J .

Given a Hermitian, alternating, or quadratic form η defined over V , for any $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ let η_J be the restriction of η to V_J . A k -Grassmannian associated to η_J will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{J,k}$. We shall write the image of \mathcal{P}_k under its Grassmann embedding ε_k as:

$$\mathbb{P}_k := \{\varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{P}_k\} \subseteq \text{PG}(V_k).$$

According to the notation introduced above we put

$$\mathbb{P}_{J,k} := \{\varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{P}_{J,k}\} \subseteq \text{PG}(V_{J,k}).$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}_{J,k} &:= \{\varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{H}_{J,k}\}, \\ \mathbb{S}_{J,k} &:= \{\varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{S}_{J,k}\}, \\ \mathbb{Q}_{J,k} &:= \{\varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{Q}_{J,k}\},\end{aligned}$$

for respectively the image of Hermitian, alternating and orthogonal Grassmannians. If $J = \{1, \dots, N\}$ then $\mathbb{H}_{J,k}$, $\mathbb{S}_{J,k}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{J,k}$ will just be denoted by \mathbb{H}_k , \mathbb{S}_k and \mathbb{Q}_k respectively. We have defined \mathbb{P}_k and $\mathbb{P}_{J,k}$ as sets of points in $\text{PG}(V_k)$ and $\text{PG}(V_{J,k})$. In the sequel, with some abuse of notation, we shall often take the liberty to regard them also as sets of vectors of respectively V_k and $V_{J,k}$, implicitly replacing $\{\varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{P}_k\}$ with $\{v \in \varepsilon_k(X) : X \text{ is a point of } \mathcal{P}_k\}$ and similarly for $\mathbb{P}_{J,k}$. With these conventions, we can define

$$\mathbb{P}_{I,h} \wedge \mathbb{P}_{J,k} := \{\langle v \wedge w \rangle : \langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_{I,h} \text{ and } \langle w \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_{J,k}\} \subseteq \text{PG}(V_{I \cup J, h+k}).$$

We always regard $\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbb{P}_{J,k} \rangle$ as subspaces of V_k and $V_{J,k}$ respectively (as we did in the Introduction when defining $\dim(\varepsilon_k)$).

2.1 Orthogonal decompositions

As above, for $I, J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let η_I and η_J be the forms induced by η on V_I and V_J respectively. We put $d_I := \text{def}(\eta_I)$, $d_J := \text{def}(\eta_J)$ and we denote by n_I and n_J the reduced Witt index of η_I and η_J .

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $I \cap J = \emptyset$ and $V_I \perp V_J$ with respect to η (or its bilinearization if η is quadratic). Then, for $1 \leq h \leq n_I + d_I$ and $1 \leq k \leq n_J + d_J$ we have $\mathbb{P}_{I,h} \wedge \mathbb{P}_{J,k} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{I \cup J, h+k}$ and $\langle \mathbb{P}_{I,h} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{P}_{J,k} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{P}_{I \cup J, h+k} \rangle$.*

Proof. Take $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_{I,h}$ and $\langle w \rangle \in \mathbb{P}_{J,k}$. Since V_I and V_J are orthogonal by hypothesis, the h -dimensional vector space $X_v := \varepsilon_h^{-1}(v)$ and the k -dimensional vector space $X_w := \varepsilon_k^{-1}(w)$ are mutually orthogonal. Hence the space $X_v + X_w$ is totally singular and it has dimension $h + k$ (recall that $V_I \cap V_J = \{0\}$ as $I \cap J = \emptyset$ by assumption). So, $\langle v \wedge w \rangle = \varepsilon_{h+k}(\langle X_v, X_w \rangle) \in \mathbb{P}_{I \cup J, h+k}$. The condition on the linear spans is now immediate. \square

In the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 the form $\eta_{I \cup J}$ induced by η on $V_{I \cup J}$ is the orthogonal sum of η_I and η_J . Accordingly, $d_I + d_J = \text{def}(\eta_{I \cup J})$, namely $\text{Rad}(\eta_{I \cup J}) = \text{Rad}(\eta_I) \oplus \text{Rad}(\eta_J)$. Moreover $n_I + n_J$ is the reduced Witt index of $\eta_{I \cup J}$. Clearly, $n_I + n_J \leq n$ but no relation can be stated between $d_I + d_J$ and d in general. Indeed, although we always have $V_{I \cup J} \subseteq \text{Rad}(\eta_{I \cup J})^\perp$, in general $\text{Rad}(\eta_{I \cup J})^\perp \subset V$.

2.2 Reduction to the non-degenerate case

As above, let η be a Hermitian, alternating, or quadratic form defined over V , with $\dim(V) = N$. Let $R := \text{Rad}(\eta)$, $d = \dim(R) = \text{def}(\eta)$ and let n be the reduced Witt index of η .

With V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n as in decomposition (1), let $\bar{V} := V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$ and let $\bar{\eta}$ be the form induced by η on \bar{V} . Note that $\dim(\bar{V}) = 2n + d_0 = N - d$, the form $\bar{\eta}$ is non-degenerate and it is isomorphic to the reduction of η , namely the form induced by η on V/R .

Given $k \leq n$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$ the polar j -Grassmannian $\bar{\mathcal{P}}_j$ associated to $\bar{\eta}$ is a full subgeometry of \mathcal{P}_j . Its ε_j -image $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_j = \varepsilon_j(\bar{\mathcal{P}}_j)$ is contained in $\bar{V}_j := \bigwedge^j \bar{V}$, which is a subspace of V_j .

Lemma 2.2. *For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have*

$$\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\min(d,k)} \langle \bar{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle \wedge \bigwedge^i R$$

where $\bigwedge^0 R := \mathbb{F}$ (as usual) and $\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_0 \rangle := \bigwedge^0 \overline{V} = \mathbb{F}$ by convention (when $d \geq k$).

Proof. Every vector $x \in V$ splits as $\bar{x} + x^R$ for uniquely determined vectors $\bar{x} \in \overline{V}$ and $x^R \in R$. Moreover, x is η -singular if and only if \bar{x} is $\bar{\eta}$ -singular and $x \perp y$ where $y = \bar{y} + y^R$ if and only if $\bar{x} \perp \bar{y}$. It follows that every vector of \mathbb{P}_k is a sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\min(d,k)} u_i \wedge v_i$ with $u_i \in \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i}$ and v_i a pure power in $\bigwedge^i R$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, \min(d, k)$. Conversely, every wedge product $u_i \wedge v_i$ as above belongs to \mathbb{P}_k . The conclusion follows from these remarks and the fact that, since $V = \overline{V} \oplus R$, we also have $\bigwedge^k V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\min(d,k)} \bigwedge^{k-i} \overline{V} \wedge \bigwedge^i R$. \square

Corollary 2.3. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have

$$\dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(d,k)} \dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle) \cdot \binom{d}{i}.$$

Proof. It follows directly from Lemma 2.2, recalling that $\dim(\bigwedge^i R) = \binom{d}{i}$ and $\dim(\langle X \wedge Y \rangle) = \dim(X) \cdot \dim(Y)$ for any two vector spaces X and Y with trivial intersection. \square

Lemma 2.4. We have:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{N-d}{k-i} \binom{d}{i} = \binom{N}{k} \quad (2)$$

where, as usual, we put $\binom{N-d}{k-i} := 0$ if either $k-i < 0$ or $k-i > N-d$.

Proof. Put $M := N-d$. Thus (2) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-i} \binom{d}{i} = \binom{M+d}{k}. \quad (3)$$

We shall prove by induction on d that (3) holds true for any choice of k and M . The case $d = 0$ is immediate. Suppose (3) holds for a given value of d with k and M arbitrary and consider $d+1$; since $\binom{d+1}{i} = \binom{d}{i} + \binom{d}{i-1}$ (with $\binom{d}{-1} = 0$ when $i = 0$), by the inductive hypothesis we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-i} \binom{d+1}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-i} \left(\binom{d}{i} + \binom{d}{i-1} \right) = \binom{M+d}{k} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-i} \binom{d}{i-1}.$$

Also

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-i} \binom{d}{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-1-j} \binom{d}{j} = \binom{M+d}{k-1},$$

again by the inductive hypothesis but with k replaced by $k-1$. So,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{M}{k-i} \binom{d+1}{i} = \binom{M+d}{k} + \binom{M+d}{k-1} = \binom{M+d+1}{k}.$$

The inductive step is done. Hence (3) holds. Consequently (2) holds as well. \square

Theorem 2.5. Both of the following hold for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

1. If $\dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_h \rangle) = \binom{N-d}{h}$ for every $h = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then $\dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k}$.
2. If $\dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_h \rangle) = \binom{N-d}{h} - \binom{N-d}{h-2}$ for every $h = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$.

Proof. To prove Part 1, replace $\dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle)$ with $\binom{N-d}{k-i}$ in Corollary 2.3 and apply (2) of Lemma 2.4. Turning to Part 2, replace $\dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle)$ with $\binom{N-d}{k-i} - \binom{N-d}{k-i-2}$ in Corollary 2.3. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\min(d,k)} \left(\binom{N-d}{k-i} - \binom{N-d}{k-i-2} \right) \cdot \binom{d}{i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\min(d,k)} \binom{N-d}{k-i} \binom{d}{i} - \sum_{i=2}^{\min(d,k)} \binom{N-d}{k-2-i} \binom{d}{i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ by Lemma 2.4. \square

3 Hermitian k -Grassmannians

In this section we shall prove Part 1 of Theorem 1. In view of Part 1 of Theorem 2.5, it is sufficient to prove Part 1 of Theorem 1 in the non-degenerate case. Accordingly, throughout this section $h : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a non-degenerate σ -Hermitian form of Witt index n and anisotropic defect $\text{def}_0(h) = d_0 = N - 2n$, where $N = \dim(V)$ and σ is an involutory automorphism of \mathbb{F} . Let $\mathbb{F}_0 := \text{Fix}(\sigma)$ be the subfield of \mathbb{F} fixed by σ . It is always possible to choose a basis

$$E = (e_1, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_N)$$

of V and $\kappa_{2n+1}, \dots, \kappa_N \in \mathbb{F}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$h \left(\sum_{i=1}^N e_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^N e_j y_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{2i-1}^\sigma y_{2i} + x_{2i}^\sigma y_{2i-1}) + \sum_{j=2n+1}^N \kappa_j x_j^\sigma y_j \quad (4)$$

where the form induced by h on $\langle e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_N \rangle$ is anisotropic in \mathbb{F} , that is

$$\sum_{j=2n+1}^N \kappa_j x_j^{\sigma+1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2} = \dots = x_N = 0.$$

Observe that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the vectors (e_{2i-1}, e_{2i}) form a hyperbolic pair for h .

For $1 \leq k \leq n$, let \mathcal{H}_k be the Hermitian k -Grassmannian associated to h and $\mathbb{H}_k = \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{H}_k)$ be its ε_k -image in V_k . According to the conventions stated in Section 2, given $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we denote by h_J the restriction of h to $V_J \times V_J$, by $\mathcal{H}_{J,k}$ the Hermitian k -Grassmannian associated to h_J (if k is not greater than the Witt index of h_J) and we put $\mathbb{H}_{J,k} = \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{H}_{J,k}) (\subseteq V_{J,k})$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $n \geq 2$. Given $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$, let $J = \{2i-1, 2i, 2j-1, 2j\}$. Then, $\langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle = V_{J,2}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle \subseteq V_{J,2}$. Recall that $V_{J,2} = \bigwedge^2 \langle e_{2i-1}, e_{2i}, e_{2j-1}, e_{2j} \rangle$. By definition of h , the vectors $e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2j-1}$, $e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2j}$, $e_{2i} \wedge e_{2j-1}$ and $e_{2i} \wedge e_{2j}$ represent totally h -singular lines of $\text{PG}(V_J)$; so, all of them are elements of $\langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle$. In order to complete the proof we need to show that both $e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i}$ and $e_{2j-1} \wedge e_{2j}$ lie in the span of $\mathbb{H}_{J,2}$. To this purpose, take $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\alpha\beta^{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}_0$ and consider the four vectors

$$u_1^x = x e_{2i-1} + e_{2j-1}, \quad u_2^x = -x^{-\sigma} e_{2i} + e_{2j}$$

with $x \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. It is immediate to see that u_1^x and u_2^x are mutually orthogonal singular vectors. So, $\langle u_1^x \wedge u_2^x \rangle \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle$ and

$$u_1^x \wedge u_2^x = -e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i} x^{1-\sigma} + e_{2j-1} \wedge e_{2j} + e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2j} x + e_{2i} \wedge e_{2j-1} x^{-\sigma} \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$u_1^\beta \wedge u_2^\beta - u_1^\alpha \wedge u_2^\alpha = (\alpha^{1-\sigma} - \beta^{1-\sigma})(e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i}) + w \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle, \quad (5)$$

with $w = (\beta - \alpha)e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2j} + (\beta^{-\sigma} - \alpha^{-\sigma})e_{2i} \wedge e_{2j-1} \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle$. If $\beta^{1-\sigma} = \alpha^{1-\sigma}$, then $\alpha\beta^{-1} = (\alpha\beta^{-1})^\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_0$ — a contradiction. So by (5), $e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i} \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle$. An analogous argument shows that also $e_{2j-1} \wedge e_{2j} \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,2} \rangle$ and this completes the proof. \square

The following is shown by De Bruyn [13, Corollary 1.2] (also Block and Cooperstein [1, Corollary 3.2]). For completeness's sake, we provide a proof here.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $d_0 = 0$. Then for all k we have $\langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle = V_k$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle \subseteq V_k$. To prove the reverse containment, we proceed by induction on k . Recall from Section 2 that V_k is spanned by the set $E_k := (e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k})$ where $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ varies among all k -subsets of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k$.

If $k = 1$, it is well known that the polar space \mathbb{H}_1 generates V and there is nothing to prove. Suppose the assertion holds for all values up to k and consider $e := e_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_k} \in E_{k+1}$. We can assume without loss of generality $j_0 \leq 2$. If $j_1 > 2$, let $J = \{3, 4, \dots, N\}$. By induction, $\mathbb{H}_{J,k}$ spans $V_{J,k}$. Since $\{1, 2\}$ and J are disjoint and $V_{\{1,2\}}$ is orthogonal to V_J , by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$e \in \langle e_{j_0} \rangle \wedge V_{J,k} = \langle e_{j_0} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{H}_{J,k} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{1,2\},1} \wedge \mathbb{H}_{J,k} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{1,2\} \cup J, k+1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{H}_{k+1} \rangle.$$

Suppose now $j_1 = 2$; consequently $j_0 = 1$ and $j_2 > 2$. Since, by hypothesis, $n \geq k + 1$ and the indexes j_2, j_3, \dots, j_k are at most $k - 1 (\leq n - 2)$, there is at least one subset of the form $\{2i - 1, 2i\}$ with $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ which is disjoint from $\{j_2, \dots, j_k\}$.

For simplicity of notation suppose $\{3, 4\}$ to be such that $\{3, 4\} \cap \{j_2, \dots, j_k\} = \emptyset$. Let $J = \{5, 6, \dots, 2n\}$. By induction, $\langle \mathbb{H}_{J, k-1} \rangle = V_{J, k-1}$. On the other hand, $e_1 \wedge e_2 \in V_{\{1,2,3,4\}, 2} = \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{1,2,3,4\}, 2} \rangle$ by Lemma 3.1. So, $e \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{1,2,3,4\}, 2} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{H}_{J, k-1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{H}_{k+1} \rangle$ by Lemma 2.1. The lemma follows. \square

Theorem 3.3. *We have $\langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle = V_k$ for all k , independently of the anisotropic defect d_0 of h .*

Proof. Clearly, $\langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle \subseteq V_k$. To prove the reverse containment we proceed by induction on d_0 . For $d_0 = 0$, the result is given by Lemma 3.2. Suppose $d_0 > 0$ and then argue by induction on k . For $k = 1$ there is nothing to prove. So assume $k > 1$. We want to prove that for all J with $|J| = k$ we have $e_J \in \langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle$. Define $s := k - |J \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|$. If $s = 0$, then $e_J \in V_{\{1, \dots, 2n\}, k}$. As $h_{\{1, \dots, 2n\}}$ is non-degenerate with anisotropic defect 0, the result follows from Lemma 3.2 applied to $\mathbb{H}_{\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}, k} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_k$. Suppose $s > 0$ and $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-1}, j\}$ with $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{k-1} < j$ and $j > 2n$. We can assume without loss of generality $j = N$. Thus $e_J = e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \wedge e_N$.

Since $k - 1 < n$, there is at least one pair $X_i := \{2i - 1, 2i\}$ with $X_i \cap J = \emptyset$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the trace $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0$ is surjective, there exists $t \in \text{Tr}^{-1}(-\kappa_N)$. Then, the vector $u = e_{2i-1} + te_{2i} + e_N$ is singular and $\langle u \rangle$ belongs to $\mathbb{H}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1}$. On the other hand, also $\langle e_{2i-1} \rangle, \langle e_{2i} \rangle \in \mathbb{H}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1}$. So $e_N \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1} \rangle$.

Put $I_i := \{1, 2, \dots, N - 1\} \setminus X_i$. Clearly, $e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \in V_{I_i, k-1}$. The form h_{I_i} induced by h on V_{I_i} is non-degenerate with anisotropic defect $d_0 - 1$. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis (on k or d_0 , as we like) referred to h_{I_i} we obtain that $e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle$. So $e_J \in \langle \mathbb{H}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1} \rangle$. However $V_{2i-1, 2i, N} \perp V_{I_i}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $\langle \mathbb{H}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{H}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{H}_{I_i \cup \{2i-1, 2i, N\}, k} \rangle = \langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle$. Therefore $e_J \in \langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle$. The theorem follows. \square

Corollary 3.4. $\dim(\langle \mathbb{H}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k}$.

Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 yield Part 1 of Theorem 1 in the non-degenerate case. As noticed at the beginning of this section, the complete statement of Part 1 of Theorem 1 follows by combining this partial result with Theorem 2.5.

4 Symplectic k -Grassmannians

As recalled in Subsection 1.1, Part 2 of Theorem 1 holds in the non-degenerate case. By Theorem 2.5, it holds in the general case as well: $\dim(\langle \mathbb{S}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ provided that $k \leq n$, no matter how large the defect of the underlying alternating form can be.

Our goal in this section is to describe a generating set for $\langle \mathbb{S}_k \rangle$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. This description will be crucial to prove the main result of Subsection 5.2.

Let $s: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be an alternating bilinear form with Witt index n and singular defect $d = N - 2n$, where $N = \dim(V)$. It is always possible to choose a basis $E = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N)$ of V such that

$$s\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i e_i, \sum_{i=1}^N y_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{2i-1} y_{2i} - x_{2i} y_{2i-1}).$$

The subspace $\langle e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_N \rangle$ is the radical of s . In the sequel, it will be convenient to keep a record of the form s in our notation. Thus, we write $\mathbb{S}_k(s)$ instead of \mathbb{S}_k . A basis of $\langle \mathbb{S}_k(s) \rangle$ when s is non-degenerate (namely $d = 0$) is explicitly described by De Bruyn [12] for arbitrary fields (see also Premet and Suprunenko [18] for fields of odd characteristic). In this section we shall provide a generating set $E_k(s)$ for $\langle \mathbb{S}_k(s) \rangle$ in the general case.

We first introduce some notation. For $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_\ell$ define

$$e_J := e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_\ell}$$

with $e_\emptyset = 1$ by convention when $J = \emptyset$.

For $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, put $(2A - 1) := \{2i - 1 : i \in A\}$, $(2A) := \{2i : i \in A\}$ and define

$$e_A^+ := e_{(2A-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad e_A^- := e_{(2A)}.$$

In particular, $e_\emptyset^+ = e_\emptyset^- = 1$ when $A = \emptyset$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ let

$$u_i := e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{i,j} := e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i} - e_{2j-1} \wedge e_{2j}.$$

Consider a set $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_h\}$ where $C_1 := \{i_1, j_1\}$, $C_2 := \{i_2, j_2\}, \dots, C_h = \{i_h, j_h\}$ are disjoint pairs of elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, with the further assumptions $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_h$ and $i_r < j_r$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, h$. Let also $\overline{C} := \{i_1, \dots, i_h, j_1, \dots, j_h\}$; clearly $|\overline{C}| = 2h$. Given such a set C , let

$$u_C := u_{i_1, j_1} \wedge u_{i_2, j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_h, j_h} \quad \text{and} \quad u_\emptyset := 1.$$

Setting 4.1. *In the sequel (A, B, C, D) always stands for a quadruple with*

$$A, B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad D \subseteq \{2n+1, 2n+2, \dots, N\} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \{C_1, \dots, C_h\}$$

where $C_1 := \{i_1, j_1\}$, $C_2 := \{i_2, j_2\}, \dots, C_h = \{i_h, j_h\}$ are disjoint pairs of elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_h$, $i_r < j_r \leq n$. Moreover, with $\overline{C} := \{i_1, \dots, i_h, j_1, \dots, j_h\}$, we assume that A, B, \overline{C} and D are pairwise disjoint with $|A \cup B \cup \overline{C} \cup D| = k$.

With (A, B, C, D) as in Setting 4.1, put $e_{A,B,C,D} := e_A^+ \wedge e_B^- \wedge u_C \wedge e_D$. Define

$$E_k(s) := \{e_{A,B,C,D} : (A, B, C, D) \text{ as in Setting 4.1}\}. \quad (6)$$

For short, denote by $e_{A,B,C}$ the factor $e_A^+ \wedge e_B^- \wedge u_C$ of $e_{A,B,C,D} = (e_A^+ \wedge e_B^- \wedge u_C) \wedge e_D$. Clearly, $e_{A,B,C} \in \bigwedge^{k-r} \bar{V}$, where $\bar{V} := \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n} \rangle$ and $r = |D|$. The numbers $k-r = |A| + |B| + 2|C| = |A| + |B| + |\bar{C}|$ and r will be called the *rank* and the *corank* of $e_{A,B,C}$, respectively.

Given $r \leq \min(d, k)$, the set of vectors $e_{A,B,C}$ of corank r as defined above coincides with the set $E_{k-r}(\bar{s})$ defined as in (6), but with $k-r$ instead of k and s replaced by its restriction \bar{s} to $\bar{V} \times \bar{V}$. The form \bar{s} is non-degenerate and $E_{k-r}(\bar{s})$ is a standard generating set for $\langle \mathbb{S}_{k-r}(\bar{s}) \rangle$ (see e.g. De Bruyn [12]; also [18] in odd characteristic). Accordingly, the set

$$E_{k,r}(s) := \{e_{A,B,C,D} \in E_k(s) : |D| = r\} = E_{k-r}(\bar{s}) \wedge \{e_D : D \subseteq \{2n+1, \dots, N\}, |D| = r\}$$

is a generating set for $\langle \mathbb{S}_{k-r}(\bar{s}) \rangle \wedge \bigwedge^r R$, where $R := \text{Rad}(s) = \langle e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_N \rangle$. By this fact, Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $E_k(s)$ is the disjoint union of the sets $E_{k,0}(s), E_{k,1}(s), \dots, E_{k,m}(s)$ (where $m := \min(k, d)$), we immediately obtain the main result of this section:

Lemma 4.2. *If $k \leq n$ then $E_k(s)$ is a generating set for $\langle \mathbb{S}_k(s) \rangle$.*

5 Orthogonal k -Grassmannians

In this section we shall prove Part 3 of Theorem 1. We firstly deal with the non-degenerate case. Having done that, a few words will be enough to fix the general case. We will treat separately the cases in which $\text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ is odd or even.

5.1 The non-degenerate case in odd characteristic

Suppose $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. Let $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form of Witt index n and anisotropic defect $\text{def}_0(q) = d_0 = N - 2n$. It is always possible to choose a basis $E = (e_1, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_N)$ of V and $\kappa_{2n+1}, \dots, \kappa_N \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$q\left(\sum x_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i-1} x_{2i} + \sum_{j=2n+1}^N \kappa_j x_j^2, \quad (7)$$

where each pair (e_{2i-1}, e_{2i}) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ is hyperbolic and the space $\langle e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_N \rangle$ is anisotropic in \mathbb{F} , i.e.

$$\sum_{j=2n+1}^N \kappa_j x_j^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2} = \dots = x_N = 0.$$

As in Section 2, \mathcal{Q}_k is the polar k -Grassmannian associated to q and $\mathbb{Q}_k = \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k)$ is its image by the Grassmann embedding. Given a subset $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, q_J is the form induced by q on V_J and, for a positive integer t not greater than the Witt index of q_J , $\mathcal{Q}_{J,t}$ is the t -Grassmannian associated to q_J and $\mathbb{Q}_{J,t} = \varepsilon_t(\mathcal{Q}_{J,t})$. Let $b : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the bilinear form associated to q , i.e.

$$b\left(\sum_{i=1}^N e_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^N e_j y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{2i-1} y_{2i} + x_{2i} y_{2i-1}) + 2 \sum_{j=2n+1}^N \kappa_j x_j y_j.$$

The content of the following Lemma has been proved in [3] for $k < n$. In order to keep the treatment as self contained as possible (and to clarify that we do not take here the assumption $k < n$) we shall provide here a new and more elementary proof.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $d_0 = 0$. Then $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = V_k$ for all $k \leq n$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle \subseteq V_k$. To prove $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle \supseteq V_k$ we argue by induction on k . When $k = 1$ it is well known that the polar space \mathbb{Q}_1 spans V . We now show that $\langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle \supseteq V_2$. Indeed, we shall prove that for all i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have $e_i \wedge e_j \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle$. If $\{i, j\} \neq \{2x-1, 2x\}$ for some $x \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then the vectors e_i and e_j are q -singular and mutually orthogonal. Hence $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle$ is a q -singular line and so $\langle e_i \wedge e_j \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_2$. Suppose $\{i, j\} = \{2x-1, 2x\}$ for some $x \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, take $h \neq x$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= e_{2x-1} - e_{2h-1}, & u_2 &= e_{2x} + e_{2h}, \\ u_3 &= e_{2x-1} - e_{2h}, & u_4 &= e_{2x} + e_{2h-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By construction $q(u_1) = q(u_2) = q(u_3) = q(u_4) = 0$ and $b(u_1, u_2) = b(u_3, u_4) = 0$ hence $\langle u_1 \wedge u_2 \rangle, \langle u_3 \wedge u_4 \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_2$. Furthermore,

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 + u_3 \wedge u_4 = 2(e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2x}) + w + w'$$

with $w = e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2h} - e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2x} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle$ and $w' = e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2h-1} - e_{2h} \wedge e_{2x} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle$. So, $e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2x} = e_i \wedge e_j \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle$.

Take now $k \geq 2$ and suppose that $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = V_k$; we claim $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{k+1} \rangle = V_{k+1}$. Let $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ with $|J| = k+1$. We show that $e_J \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{k+1} \rangle$. Since $k+1 \leq n$, clearly $k < n$. Take $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $X_i \cap J \neq \emptyset$ where $X_i = \{2i-1, 2i\}$. Let also $I_i := \{1, \dots, 2n\} \setminus X_i$. Put $t := |X_i \cap J|$; clearly $t \in \{1, 2\}$. By the inductive hypothesis on k , $e_{J \setminus X_i} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i, k-t+1} \rangle$ and $e_{J \cap X_i} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{X_i, t} \rangle$. (Note that q_{I_i} is non-degenerate with anisotropic defect 0). So, by Lemma 2.1, being X_i disjoint from I_i and V_{X_i} orthogonal to V_{I_i} , we have $e_J \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{X_i, t} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i, k-t+1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_{X_i \cup I_i, k+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{k+1} \rangle$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *We have $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = V_k$ for any value of the anisotropic defect d_0 of q and any positive integer $k \leq n$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle \subseteq V_k$. To prove $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle \supseteq V_k$ we argue by induction on d_0 . The case $d_0 = 0$ is settled in Lemma 5.1. Suppose $d_0 > 0$.

Let $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $|J| = k$. If $N \notin J$, then $e_J \in V_{I, k}$ where $I := \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$. On the other hand, the form q_I induced by q on V_I is non-degenerate with anisotropic defect $d_0 - 1$. So $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{I, k} \rangle = V_{I, k}$ by the inductive hypothesis. Hence $e_J \in V_{I, k} = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I, k} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$.

Suppose $N \in J$ and let $\kappa_N = q(e_N)$. So, $e_J = e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \wedge e_N$. Since $|J| \leq k$ and $N > 2n$, we have $|J \setminus \{N\}| \leq k-1 \leq n-1$. Hence there is necessarily at least one index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\{2i-1, 2i\} \cap J = \emptyset$. For such a choice of i , let $v_1 := e_{2i-1} - \kappa_N e_{2i} + e_N$ and $v_2 := e_{2i-1} + \kappa_N e_{2i} - e_N$; so $\langle v_1 \rangle, \langle v_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1}$. Clearly, $v_1 - v_2 = -2\kappa_N e_{2i} + 2e_N$. So $e_N \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1} \rangle$. On the other hand, $e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \in V_{I_i, k-1}$, where $I_i := \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\} \setminus \{2i-1, 2i\}$. The form q_{I_i} is non-degenerate and has anisotropic defect $d_0 - 1$. Then $V_{I_i, k-1} = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle$ by induction on d_0 (or on k). Consequently, $e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle$. It follows that

$$e_J = e_{J \setminus \{N\}} \wedge e_N \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1} \rangle.$$

However $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i, k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, N\}, 1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_i \cup \{2i-1, 2i, N\}, k} \rangle$ by Lemma 2.1 and $\mathbb{Q}_{I_i \cup \{2i-1, 2i, N\}, k} = \mathbb{Q}_k$. Therefore $e_J \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.3. $\dim(\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k}$.

Remark 5.4. Theorem 5.2 includes Theorem 1.1 of [3] as the special case where $d = 1$, but the proof given in [3] is not so easy as our proof of Theorem 5.2. Note also that we have obtained Theorem 5.2 from Lemma 5.1 while in [3] the analogue of our Lemma 5.1 is obtained as a consequence of Theorem 1.1 of that paper.

5.2 The non-degenerate case in even characteristic

Let now $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ and $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form of Witt index n and anisotropic defect $\text{def}_0(q) = d_0 = N - 2n$ as in Equation (7). As $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, the anisotropic part of the expression of q can assume a more complex form than (7). Actually, it is always possible to determine a basis

$$E = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}, \dots, e_{2n+2m}, e_{2n+2m+1}, \dots, e_N)$$

of V such that q can be written as

$$q\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i e_i\right) = q_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i e_i\right) + q_1\left(\sum_{i=2n+1}^{2n+2m} x_i e_i\right) + q_2\left(\sum_{i=2n+2m+1}^N x_i e_i\right), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i e_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2i-1} x_{2i}, \\ q_1\left(\sum_{i=2n+1}^{2n+2m} x_i e_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (x_{2n+2i-1} x_{2n+2i} + \lambda_i x_{2n+2i-1}^2 + \mu_i x_{2n+2i}^2), \\ q_2\left(\sum_{i=2n+2m+1}^N x_i e_i\right) &= \sum_{j=2n+2m+1}^N \kappa_j x_j^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda_i, \mu_i, \kappa_j \in \mathbb{F}$ are such that the form

$$q_{1,2}\left(\sum_{i=2n+1}^N x_i e_i\right) := q_1\left(\sum_{i=2n+1}^m x_i e_i\right) + q_2\left(\sum_{i=2n+2m+1}^N x_i e_i\right)$$

defined on $V_{\{2n+1, \dots, N\}}$ is totally anisotropic; see, for instance, [14, Proposition 7.31]. In particular, for any $2n + 2m + 1 \leq i, j \leq N$ each of the ratios κ_i / κ_j must be a non-square in \mathbb{F} and the equations $\lambda_i t^2 + t + \mu_i = 0$ must admit no solution in \mathbb{F} . We will say that q has parameters $[n, m, d'_0]$ where n is its Witt index and $d'_0 := N - 2n - 2m$. Clearly, $d_0 = 2m + d'_0$.

Denote by $f_q : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, $f_q(x, y) := q(x + y) + q(x) + q(y)$ the alternating bilinear form polarizing q . Explicitly, by Equation (8), we have

$$f_q\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i e_i, \sum_{i=1}^N y_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} (x_{2i-1} y_{2i} + x_{2i} y_{2i-1}).$$

Note that the Witt index of f_q is $n + m + d'_0$ and $d'_0 = \dim(\text{Rad}(f_q))$. So, f_q is non-degenerate if and only if $d'_0 = 0$. Also, $n + m$ is the reduced Witt index of f_0 .

Any totally singular k -space for q is necessarily totally singular for f_q , but the converse does not hold. This gives $\mathcal{Q}_k \subset \mathcal{S}_k(f_q)$, where by $\mathcal{S}_k(f_q)$ we mean the symplectic k -Grassmannian related to the form f_q . Consequently, $\langle \mathcal{Q}_k \rangle \leq \langle \mathcal{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$, where $\mathbb{S}_k(f_q)$ is the image of $\mathcal{S}_k(f_q)$ under the Grassmann embedding. In the remainder of this section we shall prove that actually $\langle \mathcal{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$.

We shall stick to the notation of Sections 2 and 4. In particular, $E_k(f_q)$ is the generating set for $\langle \mathbb{S}_k \rangle$ defined in (6). We add the following to the notation of Section 4. Given $X \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we write $[X]$ for $\{2x - 1, 2x : x \in X\}$.

The statement of the next lemma is proved in [3, Proposition 4.1(2)] for $k < n$. We give an easier proof here, which works for the case $k = n$ as well.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $d_0 = 0$. Then $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. As noticed above, $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle \leq \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$. We shall show that $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$.

Let $n = k = 2$ and suppose first that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$. A direct computation shows that the 6 vectors representing the lines of $\mathcal{Q}_1 = Q^+(3, 2)$ span $\langle \mathbb{S}_2(f_q) \rangle$, which has dimension 5, and we are done. As linear independence is preserved taking field extensions, the 5 vectors forming a basis of $\langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle$ are linearly independent over any algebraic extension of \mathbb{F} . So, $\langle \mathbb{Q}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_2(f_q) \rangle$ for $n = 2$.

We now show that the equality $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$ holds for any n and any $2 \leq k \leq n$. The generating set $E_k(f_q)$ of $\langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$ is formed by the vectors $e_{A,B,C,\emptyset}$. Observe first that for any $1 \leq k \leq n$, all vectors of the form $e_{A,B,\emptyset,\emptyset}$ with $|A \cup B| = k$ represent totally singular spaces for the quadratic form q ; so $e_{A,B,\emptyset,\emptyset} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. Take now $e_{A,B,C,\emptyset} \in E_k(f_q)$ with $t := |A \cup B|$, $0 \leq t \leq k$. We have

$$e_{A,B,C,\emptyset} = e_{A,B,\emptyset,\emptyset} \wedge e_{\emptyset,\emptyset,C,\emptyset}$$

with $e_{A,B,\emptyset,\emptyset}$ and $e_{\emptyset,\emptyset,C,\emptyset}$ as in Setting 4.1 but with k replaced by t and $k - t$ respectively (note that $k - t$ is even). Then $e_{A,B,\emptyset,\emptyset} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{(2A-1) \cup (2B), t} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B], t} \rangle$ and $e_{\emptyset,\emptyset,C,\emptyset} \in \langle \mathbb{S}_{[\bar{C}], k-t} \rangle$.

The vectors of the form $e_{\emptyset,\emptyset,C,\emptyset}$ are in $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{[\bar{C}], k-t} \rangle$ since, by Setting 4.1, $e_{\emptyset,\emptyset,C,\emptyset} = u_C = u_{i_1, j_1} \wedge u_{i_2, j_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{i_{k/2}, j_{(k-t)/2}}$ and each of the u_{i_x, j_x} is in $\langle \mathbb{S}_{\{2i_x-1, 2i_x, 2j_x-1, 2j_x\}, 2} \rangle$. Indeed, for each x we have $\mathcal{Q}_{\{2i_x-1, 2i_x, 2j_x-1, 2j_x\}, 1} \cong Q^+(3, \mathbb{F})$, so, by what has been shown for $n = k = 2$, we have $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i_x-1, 2i_x, 2j_x-1, 2j_x\}, 2} \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_{\{2i_x-1, 2i_x, 2j_x-1, 2j_x\}, 2} \rangle$ and

$$u_C \in \bigwedge_{x=1, \dots, (k-t)/2} \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i_x-1, 2i_x, 2j_x-1, 2j_x\}, 2} \rangle = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[\bar{C}], k-t} \rangle.$$

Hence $e_{A,B,C,\emptyset} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B], t} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[\bar{C}], k-t} \rangle$. However, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B], t} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[\bar{C}], k-t} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B] \cup \bar{C}, k-t} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle.$$

Therefore $e_{A,B,C,\emptyset} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. It follows that $E_k(f_q) \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. Consequently $\langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle \leq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$; this gives the thesis. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let $d_0 = 2m$, namely $d'_0 = 0$. Then $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$.*

Proof. If $m = 0$ then the thesis holds by Lemma 5.5. Suppose $m \geq 1$. We will show that any vector of $E_k(f_q)$ is contained in $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. We argue by induction on k . If $k = 1$, it is well known that $\langle \mathbb{Q}_1 \rangle = V = \langle \mathbb{S}_1(f_q) \rangle$ and we are done. Suppose now that the lemma holds for all values up to $k - 1$. Let (A, B, C, \emptyset) be as in Setting 4.1 with $|A \cup B \cup \bar{C}| = k - 1$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis, $e_{A,B,C} := e_{A,B,C,\emptyset}$ belongs to $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{k-1} \rangle$. Take $i \notin A \cup B \cup \bar{C}$.

If $i \leq n$, since $q(e_{2i-1}) = q(e_{2i}) = 0$, we have that $e_{A \cup \{i\}, B, C}$ and $e_{A, B \cup \{i\}, C}$ are in $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. Indeed $e_{A \cup \{i\}, B, C} = e_{A, B, C} \wedge e_{2i-1}$ and $e_{A, B \cup \{i\}, C} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{1, 2, \dots, 2i-2, 2i+1, \dots, 2n\}, k-1} \rangle$, since $i \notin A \cup B$. So, $e_{A \cup \{i\}, B, C} = e_{A, B, C} \wedge e_{2i-1} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \bar{C}], k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle$. However

$$\langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \bar{C}], k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \bar{C}] \cup \{2i-1, 2i\}, k-1+1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$$

by Lemma 2.1. Hence $e_{A \cup \{i\}, B, C} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. The proof that $e_{A, B \cup \{i\}, C} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$ is entirely analogous.

Suppose $i > n$. Since $|A \cup B \cup \bar{C}| = k - 1 \leq n - 1$, there exists j with $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \notin A \cup B \cup \bar{C}$. Let $v_1 = e_{2j-1} + \lambda_{i-n} e_{2j} + e_{2i-1}$, where $\lambda_{i-n} = q(e_{2i-1})$. By construction, $\langle v_1 \rangle \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2j-1, 2j, 2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle$; since $q(e_{2j-1}) = q(e_{2j}) = 0$, also $\langle e_{2j-1} \rangle, \langle e_{2j} \rangle \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2j-1, 2j, 2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle$, thus forcing $e_{2i-1} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2j-1, 2j, 2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle$. Thus

$$e_{A \cup \{i\}, B, C} = e_{A, B, C} \wedge e_{2i-1} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \bar{C}], k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2j-1, 2j, 2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \overline{C}], k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2j-1, 2j, 2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. Therefore $e_{A \cup \{i\}, B, C} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$. Likewise, let $v_2 = e_{2j-1} + \mu_{i-n} e_{2j} + e_{2i}$, where $\mu_{i-n} = q(e_{2i})$. The same argument as above shows that

$$e_{A, B \cup \{i\}, C} = e_{A, B, C} \wedge e_{2i} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \overline{C}], k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2j-1, 2j, 2i-1, 2i\}, 1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle.$$

Take now (A, B, C, \emptyset) as in Setting 4.1 with $|A \cup B \cup \overline{C}| = k - 2$. By the inductive hypothesis, $e_{A, B, C} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{k-2} \rangle$. We claim that $e_{A, B, C \cup \{i, h\}} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle$ for any pair $\{i, h\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n + m\}$ such that $\{i, h\} \cap (A \cup B \cup \overline{C}) = \emptyset$.

Since $k - 2 \leq n - 2$, there exist at least two distinct indexes x, y with $1 \leq x < y \leq n$ and $x, y \notin A \cup B \cup \overline{C}$. Also, there exists at least one index less or equal to n which does not belong to $A \cup B \cup \overline{C} \cup \{i, h\}$ because $|(A \cup B \cup \overline{C} \cup \{i, h\}) \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}| \leq k - 1 \leq n - 1$. Assume x is that index. We now distinguish two cases, according as y coincides or not with h . Note that in the case $k < n$ it is always possible to find x and y distinct such that $\{i, h\} \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$ while if $k = n$ then we may have to take $y = h$.

1. Suppose $y \neq h$. Let $u_1 = e_{2x-1} + \alpha e_{2x} + e_{2h-1} + e_{2i-1}$ and $u_2 = e_{2y-1} + \beta e_{2y} + e_{2h} + e_{2i}$ with $\alpha = q(e_{2h-1} + e_{2i-1})$ and $\beta = q(e_{2h} + e_{2i})$ and $J := \{2x - 1, 2x, 2y - 1, 2y, 2i - 1, 2i, 2h - 1, 2h\}$. By construction, $f_q(u_1, u_2) = 0$; so $\langle u_1 \wedge u_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2}$. On the other hand,

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 = (e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2h} + e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i}) + w$$

where

$$w = (e_{2x-1} + \alpha e_{2x}) \wedge (e_{2y-1} + \beta e_{2y}) + (e_{2x-1} + \alpha e_{2x}) \wedge e_{2h} + (e_{2y-1} + \beta e_{2y}) \wedge e_{2h-1} + \\ + (e_{2y-1} + \beta e_{2y} + e_{2h}) \wedge e_{2i-1} + (e_{2x-1} + \alpha e_{2x} + e_{2h-1}) \wedge e_{2i}$$

is a linear combination of vectors of the form $e_{A, B, \emptyset}$. So $w \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2} \rangle$ and, consequently $u_{h, i} = e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2h} + e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2} \rangle$. Since $J \cap (A \cup B \cup \overline{C}) = \emptyset$ we have

$$e_{A, B, C \cup \{i, h\}} = e_{A, B, C} \wedge u_{h, i} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{1, 2, \dots, N\} \setminus J, k-2} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle.$$

2. Suppose $y = h$. Let $u_1 = \alpha e_{2x-1} + \beta e_{2x} + e_{2h-1} + e_{2i-1}$ and $u_2 = e_{2x} + \alpha' e_{2h} + \beta' e_{2h-1} + e_{2i}$ with $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta'$ such that $q(u_1) = q(u_2) = 0$. This yields $\alpha\beta = q(e_{2i-1})$ and $\alpha'\beta' = q(e_{2i})$. Note $q(e_{2i-1}) \neq 0 \neq q(e_{2i})$. We also want $f_q(u_1, u_2) = \alpha + \alpha' + 1 = 0$. Take $\alpha' = \alpha + 1$. Let now $J := \{2x - 1, 2x, 2h - 1, 2h, 2i - 1, 2i\}$. Then, $\langle u_1 \wedge u_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2}$ and

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 = \alpha(e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2x}) + \alpha'(e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2h}) + (e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i}) + w$$

where

$$w = \alpha e_{2x-1} \wedge (\alpha' e_{2h} + \beta' e_{2h-1} + e_{2i}) + \beta e_{2x} \wedge (\alpha' e_{2h} + \beta' e_{2h-1} + e_{2i}) + \\ e_{2h-1} \wedge (e_{2x} + e_{2i}) + e_{2i-1} \wedge (e_{2x} + e_{2h} + \beta' e_{2h-1}) \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2} \rangle,$$

since w is a linear combination of vectors of the form $e_{A, B, \emptyset}$. On the other hand,

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 - w = \alpha(e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2x}) + (1 + \alpha)(e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2h}) + (e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i}) = \\ \alpha \underbrace{(e_{2x-1} \wedge e_{2x} + e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2h})}_{v_1} + \underbrace{(e_{2h-1} \wedge e_{2h} + e_{2i-1} \wedge e_{2i})}_{v_2}.$$

Since $1 \leq x, y \leq n$ and $h = y$, we have that $v_1 \in \langle \mathbb{S}_{\{2x-1, 2x, 2y-1, 2y\}, 2}(f_q) \rangle$; however the quadratic form $q' = q_{\{2x-1, 2x, 2y-1, 2y\}}$ is non-singular with anisotropic defect 0. So, by Lemma 5.5,

$$\langle \mathbb{S}_{\{2x-1, 2x, 2y-1, 2y\}, 2}(f_q') \rangle = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2x-1, 2x, 2y-1, 2y\}, 2} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J, 2} \rangle.$$

It follows that $v_2 = u_1 \wedge u_2 - v_1 - w \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J,2} \rangle$. By Lemma 2.1 we now have

$$e_{A,B,C \cup \{i,h\}} = e_{A,B,C} \wedge v_2 \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{[A \cup B \cup \bar{C}],k-2} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{J,2} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.7. *We have $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$ for any choice of the parameters $[n, m, d'_0]$ of q and any $k \leq n$.*

Proof. When $d'_0 = 0$ the statement holds true by Lemma 5.6. Also, for $k = 1$ it is well known that $\langle \mathbb{Q}_1 \rangle = V = \langle \mathbb{S}_1(f_q) \rangle$. In this case there is nothing to prove.

Suppose $k > 1$, take $e_{A,B,C,D} \in E_k(f_q)$ and let $J = A \cup B \cup \bar{C} \cup D$. Clearly $|J| = k$. Let also $h = k - |J \cap \{1, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, n+m\}|$. We argue by induction on h . If $h = 0$, then $e_{A,B,C,D} \in \langle \mathbb{S}_{\{1, \dots, 2n+2m\},k}(f_q) \rangle = \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{1,2, \dots, 2n+2m\},k} \rangle$ by Lemma 5.6, since the polar space $\mathcal{Q}_{\{1,2, \dots, 2n+2m\}}$ has $d'_0 = 0$ and we are done. Suppose $h > 1$; in particular $D \neq \emptyset$ and take $j \in D$. As $D \subseteq \{2n+2m+1, \dots, N\}$ (see Setting 4.1), it must be $j > 2n+2m$. Moreover, there necessarily exists an index $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\{2i-1, 2i\} \cap J = \emptyset$. Let $u = e_{2i-1} + \kappa_j e_{2i} + e_j$ where $q(e_j) = \kappa_j$. Clearly $q(u) = 0$, so $\langle u \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, j\},1}$. Since $\langle e_{2i-1} \rangle, \langle e_{2i} \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, j\}}$ we have $e_j \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, j\},1} \rangle$. Now let $D' = D \setminus \{j\}$ and $J' = A \cup B \cup \bar{C} \cup D'$. Then, $h' := (k-1) - |J' \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\}| = (k-1) - |J \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\}| = h-1$. Moreover, if $I_{ij} := \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \setminus \{2i-1, 2i, j\}$, the form $q_{I_{ij}}$ is non-degenerate with parameters $[n-1, m, d'_0-1]$. So $e_{A,B,C,D'} \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_{ij},k-1} \rangle$ by the inductive hypothesis on h (but induction on k or on d'_0 would work as well). By Lemma 2.1,

$$e_{A,B,C,D} = e_{A,B,C,D'} \wedge e_j \in \langle \mathbb{Q}_{I_{ij},k-1} \rangle \wedge \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\{2i-1, 2i, j\},1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.8. $\dim(\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$.

Remark 5.9. Theorem 5.7 includes Theorem 1.2 of [3] as the special case where $d = 1$, but the proof given in [3] is far more elaborate than our proof of Theorem 5.7. Note also that we have obtained Theorem 5.7 from Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 while in [3] the analogue of our Lemma 5.5 is obtained as a consequence of Theorem 1.2 of that paper.

5.3 End of the proof of Part 3 of Theorem 1

Let now q be degenerate with reduced Witt index n and let $k \leq n$. When $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ the equality $\dim(\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k}$ follows from Corollary 5.3 and Part 1 of Theorem 2.5. In this case $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = V_k$.

Let $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$. Then $\dim(\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ by Corollary 5.8 and Part 2 of Theorem 2.5. Let f_q be the bilinearization of q . Then $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$. Moreover $\dim(\langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ by Part 2 of Theorem 1, as already proved in Section 4. The equality $\langle \mathbb{Q}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbb{S}_k(f_q) \rangle$ follows.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

6 The case $n < k \leq n + d$

We shall now prove Corollary 2. Let $n < k \leq n + d$. Note firstly that the formula of Corollary 2.3 also holds for $n < k \leq n + d$ provided that the summation index i is subject to the restriction

$k - i \leq n$, namely $i \geq k - n$. Similarly for the statement of Lemma 2.2. Thus,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle &= \bigoplus_{i=k-n}^{\min(d,k)} \langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle \wedge \bigwedge^i R, \\ \dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) &= \sum_{i=k-n}^{\min(d,k)} \dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle) \wedge \binom{d}{i} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (9)$$

The dimensions of the spaces $\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle$ are known by Theorem 1 (recall that the form $\bar{\eta}$ induced by η on \bar{V} is non-degenerate): explicitly, $\dim(\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle)$ is equal to $\binom{N-d}{k-i}$ or $\binom{N-d}{k-i} - \binom{N-d}{k-i-2}$ according to the type of η and the characteristic of \mathbb{F} (when η is quadratic). By putting these values in the second equation of (9) and using Lemma 2.4 we obtain the formulas of Corollary 2.

The last claim of Corollary 2, namely $\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle \subset V_k$, is clear. Indeed $\sum_{i=0}^{k-n-1} \binom{N-d}{k-i} \binom{d}{i} > 0$. So, $\dim(\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle) < \binom{N}{k} = \dim(V_k)$ in Case 1 of Corollary 2. In Case 2 we have $\langle \overline{\mathbb{P}}_{k-i} \rangle \subset \bar{V}_{k-i}$ for every admissible value of i . Consequently $\langle \mathbb{P}_k \rangle \subset V_k$ by the first equation of (9).

7 Generalizing the Weyl embedding

In this section we will show that it is possible to define a projective embedding $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ of a subgeometry Γ_0 of a given geometry Γ (in general Γ_0 is defined over a subfield) starting from a given projective embedding ε_0 of Γ_0 and knowing that there exist two projective embeddings ε and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ of Γ such that ε induces ε_0 on Γ_0 and is a quotient of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. We will then apply this result to obtain a generalization of the Weyl embedding to orthogonal Grassmannians in even characteristic defined by non-degenerate quadratic forms with anisotropic defect $d_0 > 1$ but $d'_0 \leq 1$.

In the Introduction of this paper we have used the word ‘embedding’ in a somewhat loose way, avoiding a strict definition but thinking of an embedding $\varepsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma$ of a point-line geometry Γ in a projective space Σ as an injective mapping from the point-set P of Γ to the point-set of Σ , neither requiring that ε is ‘projective’, namely it maps lines of Γ onto lines of Σ (although this requirement is satisfied in most of the cases we consider), nor that $\varepsilon(P)$ spans Σ , even when ε is indeed ‘projective’. This free way of talking was the right one in that context, since we focused on the problem of determining the span of the set of points $\varepsilon(P)$ (namely $\varepsilon_k(\mathcal{P}_k)$) inside Σ (namely $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$). However, in the sequel, sticking to that lax setting would cause some troubles. Thus, from now on, we shall adopt a sharper terminology. Following Shult [19], given a point-line geometry Γ where the lines are sets of points, we say that an injective mapping from the point-set P of Γ to the point-set of a projective geometry $\text{PG}(V)$ is a *projective embedding* of Γ in $\text{PG}(V)$ if:

(E1) for every line ℓ of Γ , the set $\{\varepsilon(p) : p \in \ell\}$ is a line of $\text{PG}(V)$;

(E2) the set $\varepsilon(P)$ spans $\text{PG}(V)$.

When talking about projective embeddings in the Introduction of this paper we assumed (E1) but not (E2), but now we also require (E2). According to (E2), the dimension $\dim(\varepsilon)$ of ε is just $\dim(V)$.

All embeddings to be considered henceforth are projective in the sense we have now fixed. In the sequel we shall also deal with morphisms of embeddings. We refer to Shult [19] for this notion.

7.1 Liftings of projective embeddings

Let Γ be a point-line geometry and consider two projective embeddings

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{PG}(\tilde{V}), \quad \varepsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{PG}(V)$$

defined over the same field \mathbb{F} such that ε is a quotient of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$. Let also $\varphi : \tilde{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \varepsilon$ be the morphism from $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ to ε , i.e. $\varphi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ is a semilinear mapping such that $\varphi \circ \tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$. Note that φ is uniquely determined up to scalars. In particular, $\text{PG}(\tilde{V}/K) \cong \text{PG}(V)$ where $K := \ker(\varphi)$. Note also that φ induces a bijection from $\tilde{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ to $\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ (in fact an isomorphism of point-line geometries). Equivalently, K contains no point $\tilde{\varepsilon}(p) \in \tilde{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ and $\langle \tilde{\varepsilon}(p), \tilde{\varepsilon}(q) \rangle \cap K = 0$ for any two points p and q of Γ .

Lemma 7.1. *For any point p of Γ and any vector $v \in \varepsilon(p)$ with $v \neq 0$, there is exactly one $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\varepsilon}(p)$ such that $\varphi(\tilde{v}) = v$.*

Proof. We warn the reader that in the following we will often regard the point $\varepsilon(p)$ as a 1-dimensional vector subspace.

Since φ is surjective, $\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon(p)) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, since by hypothesis $v \in \varepsilon(p)$ (i.e. $\langle v \rangle = \varepsilon(p)$), there is $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{V}$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(v) = \tilde{x} + K$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}(p) = \langle \tilde{x} \rangle$. Hence $|(\tilde{x} + K) \cap \tilde{\varepsilon}(p)| \geq 1$. If $|(\tilde{x} + K) \cap \tilde{\varepsilon}(p)| \geq 2$ then $\tilde{\varepsilon}(p) \cap K \neq 0$ and, since $\dim(\tilde{\varepsilon}(p)) = 1$, we would have $\tilde{\varepsilon}(p) \subseteq K$ — a contradiction. So, $|(\tilde{x} + K) \cap \tilde{\varepsilon}(p)| = 1$. Take $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{\varepsilon}(p)$. Then $\langle \varphi(\tilde{v}) \rangle = \varepsilon(p) = \langle v \rangle$; so $\varphi(\tilde{v}) = tv$ for some $t \neq 0$. Up to replacing \tilde{v} with $t^{-1}\tilde{v}$, we can suppose $\varphi(\tilde{v}) = v$, so $\tilde{v} \in (\tilde{x} + K) \cap \tilde{\varepsilon}(p)$. Consequently, $\varphi^{-1}(v) \cap \tilde{\varepsilon}(p) = \{\tilde{v}\}$. \square

Definition 7.2. With \tilde{v} as in Lemma 7.1, we call \tilde{v} the *lifting* of v to \tilde{V} through φ and write $\tilde{v} = \varphi^{-1}(v)$.

Let now Γ_0 be a subgeometry of Γ defined over a subfield \mathbb{F}_0 of \mathbb{F} . Suppose that the vector space V admits a basis E such that the restriction of ε to Γ_0 is the natural field extension of a projective embedding $\varepsilon_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{PG}(V_0)$ where V_0 is the span of E over \mathbb{F}_0 .

In order to avoid unnecessary complications, we assume that φ is linear. This hypothesis suits our needs in Section 7.2. Moreover, it is not as restrictive as it can look (see below, Remark 7.7).

Let $\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon_0(\Gamma_0))$ be the set of all liftings to \tilde{V} of vectors of V representing points of the form $\varepsilon_0(p)$ with $p \in \Gamma_0$ and let \tilde{V}_0 be the span of $\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon_0(\Gamma_0))$ over \mathbb{F}_0 . By Lemma 7.1, every vector $v_0 \in \varepsilon_0(p)$ with $p \in \Gamma_0$ admits a unique lifting $\tilde{v}_0 \in \tilde{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, since φ is \mathbb{F} -linear (whence also \mathbb{F}_0 -linear) it is immediate to see that the set of the liftings of the non-zero vectors of $\varepsilon_0(p)$ is the \mathbb{F}_0 -span $\langle \tilde{v}_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_0}$ of the lifting \tilde{v}_0 of any non-zero vector $v_0 \in \varepsilon_0(p)$. So, the following definition is well posed.

Definition 7.3. Let $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{PG}(\tilde{V}_0)$ be the map defined by the clause $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(p) := \langle \tilde{v}_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_0}$ for p a point of Γ_0 , $\varepsilon_0(p) = \langle v_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_0}$ and $\tilde{v}_0 := \varphi^{-1}(v_0)$. We call $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ the *lifting* of ε_0 to \tilde{V}_0 through φ .

Theorem 7.4. *The lifting $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ of ε_0 is a projective embedding of Γ_0 in $\text{PG}(\tilde{V}_0)$ and φ induces a linear morphism $\varphi_0 : \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \rightarrow \varepsilon_0$.*

Proof. We first show that the image of any three collinear points $r, s, t \in \Gamma_0$ is contained in a line of $\text{PG}(\tilde{V}_0)$. Let $r' \in \varepsilon_0(r)$, $s' \in \varepsilon_0(s)$, $t' \in \varepsilon_0(t)$, with $r', s', t' \neq 0$. Since ε_0 is a projective embedding, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_0$ such that $r' = xs' + yt'$. By Lemma 7.1 the vectors $\tilde{r}', \tilde{s}', \tilde{t}'$ such that $\varphi(\tilde{r}') = r'$, $\varphi(\tilde{s}') = s'$, $\varphi(\tilde{t}') = t'$ are uniquely determined. Clearly $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(r) = \langle \tilde{r}' \rangle$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(s) = \langle \tilde{s}' \rangle$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(t) = \langle \tilde{t}' \rangle$. Since φ is linear we have also

$$\varphi(x\tilde{s}' + y\tilde{t}') = x\varphi(\tilde{s}') + y\varphi(\tilde{t}') = xs' + yt' = r'.$$

So, by Lemma 7.1 we have $x\tilde{s}' + y\tilde{t}' = \tilde{r}'$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_0$. Thus, $\tilde{r}' \in \langle \tilde{s}', \tilde{t}' \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_0}$.

Since ε_0 is projective, for each value of $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_0$, the vector $xs' + yt'$ represents a point $r \in \Gamma_0$, so also $x\tilde{s}' + y\tilde{t}'$ represents a point of Γ_0 . This proves that the image of a line of Γ_0 by means of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ is a (full) line in $\text{PG}(\tilde{V}_0)$.

Define φ_0 by $\varphi_0(\tilde{v}_0) = v_0$ for any $\tilde{v}_0 \in \tilde{\varepsilon}_0(p)$ and $p \in \Gamma_0$ and extend the function by linearity to all \tilde{V}_0 . So, $\varphi_0(\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(p)) = \langle v_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_0}$ where $\varepsilon_0(p) = \langle v_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_0}$ and $\varphi_0 : \tilde{V}_0 \rightarrow V_0$ is a restricted truncation of the \mathbb{F} -linear map $\varphi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$. In particular, φ_0 is \mathbb{F}_0 -linear. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 7.5. Clearly, $\ker(\varphi_0) = \tilde{V}_0 \cap \ker(\varphi)$. So, if φ is an isomorphism then φ_0 too is an isomorphism.

Remark 7.6. It follows from Theorem 7.4 that $\dim(\tilde{V}_0) \geq \dim(V)$. On the other hand, it can happen that \tilde{V}_0 is not contained in the \mathbb{F}_0 -span of any basis of \tilde{V} . If that is the case, then it might happen that $\dim(\tilde{V}_0) > \dim(\tilde{V})$.

Remark 7.7. We have assumed that φ is linear but what we have said remains valid if φ is semi-linear without being linear, with the following unique modification: if σ is the automorphism of \mathbb{F} associated to φ , then we must define \tilde{V}_0 as the \mathbb{F}'_0 -span of $\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon_0(\Gamma_0))$ with $\mathbb{F}'_0 := \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_0)$.

7.2 Weyl-like embeddings

Consider an orthogonal k -Grassmannian \mathcal{Q}_k defined by a non-degenerate quadratic form of Witt index n and anisotropic defect d_0 . Suppose $k < n$ and $d_0 \leq 1$. Then, as explained in Section 1.2, the geometry \mathcal{Q}_k affords both the Weyl embedding and the Grassmann embedding. These are both projective. If either $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ or $k = 1$ then the Weyl embedding and the Grassmann embedding are essentially the same while if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ and $k > 1$ then the Grassmann embedding is a proper quotient of the Weyl embedding.

If $d_0 > 1$ then the Weyl embedding cannot be considered. Nevertheless we shall manage to define a generalization of the Weyl embedding for orthogonal Grassmannians defined by quadratic forms with defect greater than 1. To this aim, we need a couple of lemmas on algebraic extensions of the underlying field \mathbb{F} of a quadratic form q .

Lemma 7.8. *Let $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ and let $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the generic non-degenerate quadratic form with parameters $[n, m, d'_0]$ as given by (8). Then there exists a field extension $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ such that the extension \widehat{q} of q to $\widehat{V} := V \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ admits the following representation with respect to a suitable basis \widehat{E} or \widehat{V} :*

$$\widehat{q}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} x_{2i-1}x_{2i} & \text{if } 2n + 2m = N, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} x_{2i-1}x_{2i} + \kappa_{2n+2m+1}x_{2n+2m+1}^2 & \text{if } 2n + 2m < N. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Moreover, if we require that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ has minimal degree over \mathbb{F} , then $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ is a uniquely determined algebraic extension of \mathbb{F} of degree $|\widehat{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbb{F}| \leq 2m + 2d''_0$ where $d''_0 := \max(0, d'_0 - 1)$.

Proof. If $2n + 2m < N$, put $\kappa := \kappa_{2n+2m+1}$, for short. Consider an extension $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ containing the two roots of each equation $\lambda_i t^2 + t + \mu_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, say α_i and β_i , and also all elements $\delta_j = (\kappa_j/\kappa)^{1/2} \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ for all $j = 2n + 2m + 2, \dots, N$. Define $\gamma_i := (\alpha_i + \beta_i)^{1/2}$. Since $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, we have $\gamma_i \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$. Now let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \widehat{e}_{2n+2i-1} &= e_{2n+2i-1}\alpha_i\gamma_i^{-1} + e_{2n+2i}\gamma_i^{-1} \\ \widehat{e}_{2n+2i} &= e_{2n+2i-1}\beta_i\gamma_i^{-1} + e_{2n+2i}\gamma_i^{-1} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{q}(\widehat{e}_{2n+2i-1}) &= \lambda_i \alpha_i^2 \gamma_i^{-2} + \alpha_i \gamma_i^{-2} + \mu_i \gamma_i^{-2} = \gamma_i^{-2} (\lambda_i \alpha_i^2 + \alpha_i + \mu_i) = 0, \\ \widehat{q}(\widehat{e}_{2n+2i}) &= \lambda_i \beta_i^2 \gamma_i^{-2} + \beta_i \gamma_i^{-2} + \mu_i \gamma_i^{-2} = \gamma_i^{-2} (\lambda_i \beta_i^2 + \beta_i + \mu_i) = 0, \\ f_{\widehat{q}}(\widehat{e}_{2n+2i-1}, \widehat{e}_{2n+2i}) \gamma_i^{-2} &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

When $2n + 2m < N$, put also $\widehat{e}_{2m+2n+1} := e_{2m+2n+1}$ and

$$\widehat{e}_j := e_{j-1} \delta_{j-1}^{-1} + e_j \delta_j^{-1}, \quad \text{for } j = 2n + 2m + 2, \dots, N,$$

with $\delta_{j-1} := 1$ when $j = 2n + 2m + 2$. Clearly, $\widehat{q}(\widehat{e}_{2n+2m+1}) = \kappa$ and, for $j = 2n + 2m + 2, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{q}(\widehat{e}_j) &= \kappa_{j-1} \delta_{j-1}^2 + \kappa_j \delta_j^{-2} = \kappa + \kappa = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 2n + 2m + 2, \dots, N \\ f_{\widehat{q}}(\widehat{e}_i, \widehat{e}_j) &= 0, \quad \text{for } i, j = 2n + 2m + 1, \dots, N.\end{aligned}$$

(As for the latter equality, recall that $f_q(e_i, e_j) = 0$ for any $i, j = 2n + 2m + 1, \dots, N$). So, for $j \geq 2n + 2m + 1$ the vector \widehat{e}_j is orthogonal to all vectors of \widehat{V} , namely it belongs to the radical of \widehat{q} . Let now

$$\widehat{E} := (e_1, \dots, e_{2n}, \widehat{e}_{2n+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}_{2n+2m}, \widehat{e}_{2n+2m+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}_N). \quad (11)$$

This is a basis of \widehat{V} . With respect to this basis, \widehat{q} assumes the form (10).

The last claim of the Lemma remains to be proved. Suppose that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ has minimal degree over \mathbb{F} . Then $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ is an algebraic extension of \mathbb{F} obtained from \mathbb{F} as a series of quadratic extensions by adding roots of the equations $\lambda_i t^2 + t + \mu_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $t^2 = \kappa_j / \kappa$ for $j = 2n + 2m + 2, \dots, N$. The degree of such an extension is at most $2m + 2d'_0$ with $d'_0 = d'_0 - 1$ if $d'_0 > 0$, otherwise $d'_0 = 0$.

Still assuming that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ has minimal degree, let $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}'$ be another extension of \mathbb{F} such that the extension \widehat{q}' of q to $\widehat{V}' = V \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{F}}'$ admits the representation (10). If some of the polynomials $\lambda_i t^2 + t + \mu_i$ or $t^2 + \kappa_j / \kappa$ are irreducible in $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}'$, then \widehat{V}' admits 2-subspaces X totally anisotropic for \widehat{q}' and such that $X + X^\perp = \widehat{V}'$. However this situation does not fit with (10). Therefore all polynomials $\lambda_i t^2 + t + \mu_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are reducible in $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}'$ as well as all polynomials $t^2 + \kappa_j / \kappa$ for $j = 2n + 2m + 2, \dots, N$. It follows that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}'$ contains $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ (modulo isomorphisms, of course). \square

Clearly, the form \widehat{q} of Lemma 7.8 is non-degenerate if and only if $d'_0 \leq 1$. If this is the case then $d'_0 = \text{def}_0(\widehat{q})$. On the other hand, if $d'_0 > 1$ then the radical of q has dimension $d'_0 - 1$ and is generated by the last $d'_0 - 1$ vectors $\widehat{e}_{2m+2n+2}, \dots, \widehat{e}_N$ of the basis \widehat{E} defined in (11).

In Lemma 7.8 we have assumed that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$. An analogue of Lemma 7.8 also holds for $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. Its proof is similar to that of Lemma 7.8. We leave it to the reader.

Lemma 7.9. *Let $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and let $q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the generic non-degenerate quadratic form as given by (7). Then there exists a field extension $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ such that the extension \widehat{q} of q to $\widehat{V} := V \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ admits the following representation with respect to a suitable basis \widehat{E} of \widehat{V} :*

$$\widehat{q}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} x_{2i-1} x_{2i} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{(N-1)/2} x_{2i-1} x_{2i} + \kappa_N x_N^2 & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Moreover, if we require that $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ has minimal degree over \mathbb{F} , then $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ is uniquely determined algebraic extension of \mathbb{F} of degree at most $g = 2d''_0$ where $d''_0 := \max(0, \text{def}_0(q) - 1)$.

The form \widehat{q} of Lemma 7.9 is always non-degenerate with anisotropic defect equal to 0 or 1 according to whether N is even or odd.

We shall now prove Theorem 3 of Section 1.2. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$, \widehat{V} and \widehat{q} be as in Lemmas 7.8 or 7.9. In particular, q is non-degenerate. To fixed ideas, suppose we have chosen $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ so that it has minimal degree over \mathbb{F} . Thus $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ is uniquely determined. Accordingly, \widehat{V} and \widehat{q} are uniquely determined as well. Let \mathcal{Q}_k and $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$ be the orthogonal k -Grassmannians associated to q and \widehat{q} respectively and

$$\varepsilon_k : \mathcal{Q}_k \rightarrow \langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle \subseteq \text{PG}(V_k), \quad \widehat{\varepsilon}_k : \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k \rightarrow \langle \widehat{\varepsilon}_k(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k) \rangle \subseteq \text{PG}(\widehat{V}_k)$$

be their Grassmann embeddings. So, \mathcal{Q}_k is a subgeometry of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}_k$ induces ε_k on \mathcal{Q}_k . (Recall that $\text{PG}(V_k)$ is a subgeometry of $\text{PG}(\widehat{V}_k)$, non-full if and only if $\widehat{\mathbb{F}} \supset \mathbb{F}$).

Suppose $k < n$ and, when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, assume $d'_0 \leq 1$. So, \widehat{q} is non-degenerate with anisotropic defect $\text{def}_0(\widehat{q}) \leq 1$. Consequently, the k -Grassmannian $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k$ admits the Weyl embedding, say $\widehat{e}_k^W : \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(\widehat{V}_k^W)$, and $\widehat{\varepsilon}_k$ is a quotient of \widehat{e}_k^W , as explained in Section 1.2.

Let $\widehat{\varphi}$ be the (linear) morphism from \widehat{e}_k^W to $\widehat{\varepsilon}_k$ and let e_k^W be the lifting of ε_k to $V_k^W := \langle \widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(\varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ through $\widehat{\varphi}$. We know by Theorem 7.4 that e_k^W is a projective embedding of \mathcal{Q}_k in $\text{PG}(V_k^W)$ and that $\widehat{\varphi}$ induces a (linear) morphism $\varphi : V_k^W \rightarrow \langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle$ from e_k^W to ε_k . As \widehat{q} is uniquely determined, in view of the hypotheses made on $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$, the embedding e_k^W is uniquely determined as well.

Definition 7.10. The embedding $e_k^W : \mathcal{Q}_k \rightarrow \text{PG}(V_k^W)$ is the *Weyl-like embedding* of \mathcal{Q}_k .

As e_k^W is a projective embedding, $\dim(e_k^W) = \dim(V_k^W)$ by property (E2) of projective embeddings. As noticed in Section 1.2, the morphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism when either $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ or $k = 1$. In this case $e_k^W \cong \varepsilon_k$ (Remark 7.5). If $d_0 = \text{def}_0(q) \leq 1$ then $\widehat{q} = q$. In this case $e_k^W = \widehat{e}_k^W$. Accordingly, $\dim(e_k^W) = \dim(V_k^W) = \binom{N}{k}$.

All parts of Theorem 3 have been proved. It remains to estimate the $\dim(e_k^W)$ (namely $\dim(V_k^W)$) when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, $k > 1$ and $d_0 > 1$. Recall that we have assumed the degree $|\widehat{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbb{F}|$ to be minimal and $d'_0 \leq 1$. Hence $|\widehat{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbb{F}| \leq 2m$, by Lemma 7.8.

Theorem 7.11. *Suppose $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$. Assume the hypotheses $k < n$ and $d'_0 \leq 1$, let $k > 1$ and $d_0 > 1$. Then, with $g := |\widehat{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbb{F}| \leq 2m$, we have:*

$$\binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2} \leq \dim(e_k^W) \leq \binom{N}{k} + \binom{N}{k-2}(g-1). \quad (13)$$

Proof. By construction, φ is the restriction of $\widehat{\varphi}$ to V_k^W . Hence $\text{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\widehat{\varphi}) \cap V_k^W$. Moreover, $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, regarded as an \mathbb{F} -space, has dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) = g \cdot \dim_{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}}(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\varphi}))$. So,

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\varphi})) = g \cdot \dim_{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}}(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\varphi})). \quad (14)$$

However

$$\dim_{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}}(\text{Ker}(\widehat{\varphi})) = \binom{N}{k-2} \quad (15)$$

since $\dim(\widehat{V}_k^W) = \binom{N}{k}$ while $\widehat{\varphi}(\widehat{V}_k^W) = \langle \widehat{\varepsilon}_k(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k) \rangle$ and $\dim_{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}}(\langle \widehat{\varepsilon}_k(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_k) \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ (Theorem 1, Part 3). By combining (14) with (15) we get

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \leq g \cdot \binom{N}{k-2}. \quad (16)$$

However φ maps V_k^W onto $\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle$ and $\dim(\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle) = \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$ by Theorem 1, Part 3. By comparing these facts with (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V_k^W) &= \dim(\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle) + \dim(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \leq \\ &\leq \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2} + g \cdot \binom{N}{k-2} = \binom{N}{k} + \binom{N}{k-2} \cdot (g-1) \end{aligned}$$

which yields the right hand inequality of (13). Similarly, from

$$\dim(V_k^W) = \dim(\langle \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{Q}_k) \rangle) + \dim(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \geq \binom{N}{k} - \binom{N}{k-2}$$

we obtain the left part of (13). □

Remark 7.12. Since the Grassmann embedding ε_k is *transparent* [8] and ε_k is a quotient of e_k^W , the latter is also transparent, i.e. the e_k^W -preimage of every projective line contained in $e_k^W(\mathcal{Q}_k)$ is a line of the geometry \mathcal{Q}_k .

7.3 Comments on the case $k = n$

We have not considered the case $k = n$ in Section 1.2. When $d_0 = 0$ no Weyl embedding can be defined for \mathcal{Q}_n . Let $d_0 = 1$. Then \mathcal{Q}_n admits two interesting Weyl embeddings. One of them is the so-called *spin embedding*, say it e_n^{spin} . It is projective, 2^n -dimensional and lives in the Weyl module for $\text{O}(N, \mathbb{F})$ associated the n -th fundamental weigh λ_n of the root system of type B_n . The second Weyl embedding, say it e_n^W , is $\binom{N}{n}$ -dimensional and lives in the Weyl module associated to the weight $2\lambda_n$. This embedding is veronesean and is closely related with ε_n . In fact, when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ then $e_n^W = \varepsilon_n$ while, if $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, then ε_n is a proper quotient of e_n^W (see [3] and [4]). We call e_n^W the *canonical veronesean embedding* of \mathcal{Q}_n .

An interesting relation exists between e_n^{spin} and e_n^W . Explicitly, let ν be the veronesean embedding of the codomain $\text{PG}(2^n - 1, \mathbb{F})$ of e_n^{spin} in $\text{PG}\left(\binom{2^n+1}{2} - 1, \mathbb{F}\right)$, which bijectively maps $\text{PG}(2^n - 1, \mathbb{F})$ onto the standard veronesean variety of $\text{PG}\left(\binom{2^n+1}{2} - 1, \mathbb{F}\right)$. Then $e_n^W = \nu \cdot e_n^{\text{spin}}$ (see e.g. [3], [4]). In particular, when $e_n^W = \varepsilon_n$ (equivalently $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$), then $\varepsilon_n = \nu \cdot e_n^{\text{spin}}$.

So far for the case $d_0 = 1$. We shall now consider the case $d_0 > 1$, but we firstly state some terminology which will facilitate the discussion of this case. We say that an injective mapping e from the point-set P of a point-line geometry Γ into the point-set of a projective geometry Σ is a *quadratic embedding* of rank $r \geq 2$ if e maps the lines of Γ onto non-degenerate quadrics of Witt index 1 spanning r -dimensional subspaces of Σ (and in addition $e(P)$ spans Σ). Thus, the veronesean embeddings as defined in [3], [4] and [16] are just the quadratic embeddings of rank 2. Morphisms of quadratic embeddings can be defined just as for veronesean embeddings (see [4], [16, Section 2.2]).

We can now turn to the case $d_0 > 1$. Let $d_0 > 1$ with $d'_0 = 1$ when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$. As noticed in Section 1.1, the Grassmann embedding ε_n of \mathcal{Q}_n is quadratic of rank $d_0 + 1$. We can still consider the extension \hat{q} of q as in Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9. The form \hat{q} is non-degenerate with Witt index $\hat{n} = n + \lfloor d_0/2 \rfloor$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ stands for integral part, and anisotropic defect \hat{d}_0 equal to 0 or 1 according to whether d_0 is even or odd. In any case $\hat{n} > n$. So, the Weyl embedding \hat{e}_n^W of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ is projective, as well as the Grassmann embedding $\hat{\varepsilon}_n$. The n -Grassmannian \mathcal{Q}_n is not a subgeometry of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$; nevertheless all of its points are points of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$. So, Lemma 7.1 still allows to lift ε_n to an embedding $e_n^W : \mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \text{PG}(V_n^W)$ through the morphism $\hat{\varphi} : \hat{e}_n^W \rightarrow \hat{\varepsilon}_n$, for a suitable \mathbb{F} -subspace of \hat{V}_n . However e_n^W cannot be projective. More explicitly, since $\hat{\varphi}$ is linear, the lifting

map behaves linearly, as shown in the proof of Theorem 7.4. This implies that the embedding e_n^W is quadratic with the same rank $d_0 + 1$ as ε_n . Moreover $\widehat{\varphi}$ maps $V_n^W \subset \widehat{V}_n$ onto V_n and induces a morphism $\varphi : e_n^W \rightarrow \varepsilon_n$ (an isomorphism if $\widehat{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism). We leave the proofs of these claims to the reader. We call e_n^W the *Weyl-like* embedding of \mathcal{Q}_n .

The case $d_0 = 2$ is particularly interesting. In this case $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n(n+1, 0, 0; \widehat{\mathbb{F}})$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$, chosen of minimal degree over \mathbb{F} , is a separable quadratic extension of \mathbb{F} . Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n := \mathcal{Q}_n(n, 1, 0; \widehat{\mathbb{F}})$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ (but not as a subgeometry) while \mathcal{Q}_n is a full subgeometry of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ (see e.g. [10]; also [8, §3.4]). The geometry $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ admits both the spin embedding $\widetilde{e}_n^{\text{spin}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n \rightarrow \text{PG}(2^n - 1, \widehat{\mathbb{F}})$ and the canonical veronesean embedding $\widetilde{e}_n^W : \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n \rightarrow \text{PG}(\binom{2^n+1}{2} - 1, \widehat{\mathbb{F}})$. The spin embedding $\widetilde{e}_n^{\text{spin}}$ induces a 2^n -dimensional projective embedding on \mathcal{Q}_n (see e.g. [10]; [8, §4.2]). We call it the *spin-like* embedding of \mathcal{Q}_n and use the symbol e_n^{spin} for it too.

We conjecture that the Weyl embedding \widehat{e}_n^W of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ induces on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ its veronesean embedding \widetilde{e}_n^W , the codomain V_k^W of the Weyl-like embedding e_n^W of \mathcal{Q}_n is the canonical Baer subgeometry of $\text{PG}(\binom{2^n+1}{2} - 1, \widehat{\mathbb{F}})$ defined over \mathbb{F} and \widetilde{e}_n^W induces e_n^W on \mathcal{Q}_n . If so, then $e_n^W = \nu \cdot e_n^{\text{spin}}$, where ν is the canonical veronesean embedding of $\text{PG}(2^n - 1, \mathbb{F})$ in $\text{PG}(\binom{2^n+1}{2} - 1, \mathbb{F})$. In particular, if $e_n^W = \varepsilon_n$ (as when $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$) then $\varepsilon_n = \nu \cdot e_n^{\text{spin}}$.

References

- [1] R. J. Blok and B. N. Cooperstein. *The generating rank of the unitary and symplectic Grassmannians*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **119(1)** (2012), 1–13.
- [2] F. Buekenhout and A. M. Cohen. *Diagram Geometry*. Springer, Berlin, 2013.
- [3] I. Cardinali and A. Pasini, *Grassmann and Weyl embeddings of orthogonal Grassmannians*. J. Algebr. Combin. **38** (2013), 863–888.
- [4] I. Cardinali and A. Pasini, *Veronesean embeddings of dual polar spaces of orthogonal type*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **120** (2013), 1328–1350.
- [5] I. Cardinali and A. Pasini. Embeddings of line-Grassmannians of polar spaces in Grassmann varieties. In *Groups of exceptional type, Coxeter groups and related geometries*, volume 82 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, Springer, New Delhi (2014), 75–109.
- [6] I. Cardinali and A. Pasini. *On certain submodules of Weyl modules for $SO(2n+1, \mathbb{F})$ with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$* . J. Group Theory **17** (2014), 559–588.
- [7] I. Cardinali, B. De Bruyn and A. Pasini *Minimal full polarized embeddings of dual polar spaces*. J. Algebr. Comb. **25** (2007), 7–23.
- [8] I. Cardinali, L. Giuzzi and A. Pasini. *On transparent embeddings of point-line geometries*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **155** (2018), 190–224.
- [9] B.N. Cooperstein, *On the Generation of Dual Polar Spaces of Symplectic Type over Finite Fields*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **83** (1998), 221–232.
- [10] B.N. Cooperstein and E.E. Shult. *A note on embedding and generating dual polar spaces*. Adv. Geometry **1** (2001), 37–48.
- [11] B. De Bruyn. *A decomposition of the natural embedding spaces of the symplectic dual polar spaces*. Linear Algebra Appl. **426** (2007), 462–477.

- [12] B. De Bruyn. *Some subspaces of the k th exterior power of a symplectic vector space*. Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), 3095–3104.
- [13] B. De Bruyn. *On the Grassmann modules for the unitary groups*. Linear Multilinear Algebra **58**(7) (2010), 887-902.
- [14] R. Elman, N. Kapenko, A. Merkurjev. *The Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Quadratic Forms*. American Mathematical Society (2008).
- [15] J.E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [16] A. Pasini. *Embeddings of orthogonal Grassmannians*. Innovations in Incidence Geometry, **13** (2013), 107-133.
- [17] A. Pasini. *Equations for polar Grassmannians*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **64** (2016), 2224-2240.
- [18] A.A. Premet, I.D. Suprunenko. *The Weyl modules and the irreducible representations of the symplectic group with the fundamental highest weights*. Comm. Algebra **11** (1983), 1309-1342.
- [19] E. E. Shult. *Embeddings and hyperplanes of Lie-incidence geometries*. In Groups of Lie Type and Their Geometries (W. M. Kantor and L. Di Martino editors), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1995), 215-232.
- [20] R. Steinberg. *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*. Notes prepared by J. Faulkner and R. Wilson, Yale University (1967).
- [21] J. Tits. *Buildings of Spherical Type and Finite BN pairs*. Springer L. N. in Maths. **386** (1974), Springer, Berlin.
- [22] J. Tits and R. M. Weiss. *Moufang polygons*. (2002), Springer, Berlin.

Authors' addresses:

Ilaria Cardinali and Antonio Pasini (retired)
 Department of Information Engineering and
 Mathematics
 University of Siena
 Via Roma 56, I-53100, Siena, Italy
 ilaria.cardinali@unisi.it
 antonio.pasini@unisi.it

Luca Giuzzi
 D.I.C.A.T.A.M.
 Section of Mathematics
 Università di Brescia
 Via Branze 53, I-25123, Brescia, Italy
 luca.giuzzi@unibs.it